EASTERN	STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT I DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
In Re the Matter of:	: 21-42207 (ESS)	
SID BOYS COR	P., : Brooklyn, NY	
	: November 10, 2022 Debtor. :X	
	ONORABLE ELIZABETH S. STONG TATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
APPEARANCES:		
For the Debtor:	MORGAN & BLEY, LTD. BY: ALANNA G. MORGAN, ESQ.	
	KEEVAN D. MORGAN, ESQ. 900 West Jackson Blvd, Suite 4E	
	Chicago, IL 60607	
	LAW OFFICE OF RACHEL KAYLIE, P.C.	
	BY: RACHEL LEA KAYLIE, ESQ. 1702 Avenue Z, Suite 205 Brooklyn, NY 11235	
For the U.S. Trustee:		
	U.S. Federal Office Building 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006	
	New York, New York 10014	
For 514 Fioto and 518 Metropolitan:	COLLEN & DYKMAN, LLP BY: THOMAS R. SLOME	
	100 Quentin Roosevelt Blvd. Garden City, NY 11530	
	CASCIONE, PURCIGLIOTTI, GALLOZZI,	
	P.C. BY: THOMAS G. CASCIONE	
	274 White Plains Road, Suite 6 Eastchester, NY 10709	
For Marcum:	RICHARD HOWARD GOTTESMAN	
roi marcum.	300 Cadman Plaza West, 12th Floor Brooklyn, NY 11201	
	210011111111111111111111111111111111111	

11				∠ .
1	APPEARANCES			
2	CONTINUED:	IRENE SIDERAKIS		
3	Court Transcriber:	ADL Transcription Services,	Inc.	
4		24 Crossway Drive Deer Park, New York 11729		
5		(631) 277-7900		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	Proceedings recorded by e	electronic sound recording,		
25	transcript produced by t			
-				•

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(**Poor quality digital recording**)

3	THE CLERK: Calling the matters of Sid Boys Corp.,
4	21-42207, numbers one through four on the calendar.
5	Connected is Ms. Kaylie, Ms. Morgan, Mr. Morgan, Mr. Slome,
6	Mr. Gottesman, Ms. Latif, Ms. Siderakis and Mr. Cascione.
7	I'm just gonna remind the parties that although it's a video
8	conference, the official record for the Court is the audio.
9	So, if you could please state your name each time before
10	speaking. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Good afternoon, everyone.

THE COURT: Thank you. Good afternoon, everyone.

This is Judge Stong. Let's get your appearances on the record please. Ms. Kaylie for the debtor?

MS. KAYLIE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Rachel Kaylie, representing the debtor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Morgan for the debtor?

MS. MORGAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ms.

Morgan. We do have a motion to withdraw so we are appearing on behalf of the firm.

THE COURT: All right. And for the moment you're still --

MS. MORGAN: Correct, yes.

THE COURT: Counsel for the debtor, but also on your motion, yes, I saw that. Mr. Morgan, let's get your appearance on the record please.

MR. MORGAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Keevan D. 1 Morgan, also for the debtor and on the motion to dismiss and 2 (inaudible). 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Slome, 514 4 5 Fioto, 518 Metropolitan? MR. SLOME: Yes, Your Honor. Thomas Slome, Cullen 6 7 and Dykman, (inaudible) 514 Fioto Properties Corp. as landlord and (inaudible) Metropolitan Avenue Corp. as a 8 judgment creditor of the debtor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) judgment. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Gottesman, 12 Marcum? 13 MR. GOTTESMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 14 Richard Gottesman, (inaudible), on behalf of Marcum, LLP. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Siderakis, the debtor's 16 principal? 17 MS. SIDERAKIS: Irene Siderakis, the debtor. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Cascione, principal 19 20 of 514 Fioto, is that correct? 21 MR. CASCIONE: No, Your Honor, I'm the State Court 22 Counsel, it's Thomas Cascione, Cascione, Purcigliotti and 23 Galluzzi, for 514 Fioto and 518 Metropolitan. THE COURT: Apologies. And that's right in front 24 of me, I should have known that. And, Ms. Latif for the 25

Office of the United States Trustee?

MS. LATIF: Good morning, Your Honor. Amer A.

Latif, on behalf of the - or good afternoon, Your Honor.

Amer Latif, on behalf of the Office of the United States

Trustee. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. We have a number of matters on the calendar, the case management conference, the motion of the landlord and judgment creditor to convert and addressing the lease and the question of whether the time to assume has passed, the cross motion in substance, also opposition to that relief of the debtor and the motion to withdraw very recently filed from Morgan Bley firm.

I'd like to begin with status and hear from Ms.

Kaylie as debtor's Counsel who does not have a pending

motion to withdraw as Counsel. I think that's the right

place to start. Ms. Kaylie?

MS. KAYLIE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Rachel Kaylie, representing the debtor. Your Honor, it came to my attention (inaudible). It came to my attention a couple of days ago that the landlord (inaudible).

THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, Ms. Kaylie I'm interrupting you which is discourteous and I apologize. This hearing will go better if we take it in steps as I direct. That is more directive than I like to be, but that is how we're going to proceed. I think it will help us make a clearer

record. There are many matters that need to be considered.

We're starting with case management. You're debtor's Counsel. I see that we have a motion to withdraw, I see that we have our September, 2022 monthly operating report on file, that's good to see. The confirmation deadline here has been extended, thank you, to December 30th. I would like to hear you on status of this Chapter 11 case and case management.

There will be time at the appropriate time to address not only the other matters on the calendar, but also everything else that the parties would like to address, but we're going to do it in an orderly way. It will be a better record for everyone and much more helpful to the Court. Staying with status how's it going?

MS. KAYLIE: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor,

(inaudible) is that I recently found out that there were

some settlement discussions between the Morgan's and Tom

Slome and I spoke to my client about it today. I think that
there's something that (inaudible) settlement that we can

really work with and I spoke to my client today about the

source of funding to pay out this proposed settlement.

She has learned that there very recently (inaudible) funding that are becoming available to her very, very, very soon. (Inaudible), but it turns out that it's actually significantly closer. So that's kind of where we

are in terms of settlement. THE COURT: Okay. 2 MS. KAYLIE: It's my understanding that --3 THE COURT: That's encouraging. 4 5 MS. KAYLIE: I'm sorry? THE COURT: That's encouraging. I'm glad to hear 6 7 it. MS. KAYLIE: That's why I gave a little more 8 background than probably necessary so I apologize for that. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MS. KAYLIE: But when I was leading to was this. 11 So I did have extensive conversations with both my client 12 and her regular accountant, not Marcum, but her (inaudible) 13 accountant regarding the source of the funding and if she 14 could possibly pay (inaudible). 15 We were on the phone for close to two hours and it 16 was not encouraging so I was (inaudible) so that I can 17 explain to the Court that I think the possible terms of the 18 settlement are significantly closer than we had been before. 19 20 So --21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. KAYLIE: I did want the Court to be aware of 23 that. THE COURT: This is good news. What I see on the 24

calendar today includes the request of the - is it Morgan

Bley or Bley Morgan? I'm so - I just think of it as the Morgan firm, you're the Morgan firm to me, Ms. Morgan, Mr. 2 Morgan, Morgan and Bley. Do you continue to seek to 3 withdraw as Counsel or would you be requesting that that be 4 5 deferred perhaps? Ms. Morgan, Mr. Morgan? MR. MORGAN: Judge, (inaudible) withdraw because 6 7 we've been terminated (inaudible). THE COURT: Okay. So you would continue to press 8 to be relieved as Counsel and that motion which was made 9 just a couple of days ago, but it's on the calendar today. 10 We did that just to have everything present. All right. 11 That's helpful to know. 12 Ms. Kaylie, is that consistent with your 13 understanding of the debtor's intention? 14 MS. KAYLIE: That is my understanding --15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MS. KAYLIE: That Ms. Siderakis chose to send them 17 an e-mail terminating their services. 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll get 19 20 I have a concern about notice. We'll probably have there. 21 another fairly soon. I'll explain my thinking on what we're 22 going to try to accomplish today and next and it will 23 include an opportunity to hear very soon if not decisively

today that motion. Staying with status I'd like to hear

from the Office of the United States Trustee.

25

```
Ms. Latif? Ms. Latif, anything to add staying with
 1
     case management and status? Ms. Jackson?
 2
              THE CLERK: Ms. Latif?
 3
              THE COURT: Ms. Latif, you are on double mute.
 4
 5
              THE CLERK: Judge, I'll e-mail her.
              THE COURT: All right. We'll follow up.
 6
 7
     Slome, anything to add on status?
              MR. SLOME: (Inaudible)
 8
              THE COURT: Is news to you?
 9
              MR. SLOME: (Inaudible).
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) and so I have to just be
12
     honest with you, Judge I have very little sense that
13
     (inaudible).
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) after you decided, reserve
16
     decision or whatever you decide to do, Judge we can talk,
17
     but I'm just (inaudible) --
18
              THE COURT: All right.
19
20
              MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) I'm sorry.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Let's come back to Ms.
22
     Kaylie.
              I understood you to say that the Morgan's had been
     speaking with Mr. Slome, but the Morgan's disavow that and
23
     so does Mr. Slome. Did I misunderstand or were you perhaps
24
     misinformed?
25
```

MS. KAYLIE: Well, Your Honor I (inaudible) October 1 26th (inaudible) Mr. Morgan and Ms. Morgan. 2 THE COURT: Well, that's two weeks ago so that 3 doesn't really have a lot to do with today. 4 5 MS. KAYLIE: Well, Your Honor if I may, I was just provided this today which is why I had such a lengthy 6 7 conversation with my client and her accountant --THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. KAYLIE: About the terms of this proposed 9 settlement which Mr. Slome proposed (inaudible) it wasn't 10 the other way around. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MS. KAYLIE: So I'm not really sure why Mr. Slome 13 14 was so surprised --THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, it was two weeks ago. You 15 gave a different impression, you described this in substance 16 17 as late breaking news. That communication if it was October 18 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) 19 20 THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, please let me finish. 21 would remind you of your obligation to have candor to the 22 Court and characterizing an e-mail that you may have 23 received today, but that was sent on October 26th as in substance a very recent development I would not view as 24

accurate. Let's hear from Ms. Latif as to status.

you.

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: I need to hear from Ms. Latif now, Ms. Kaylie. This is a hearing, this is not a meeting or a free for all. Ms. Latif, let me hear from you staying with status. Ms. Latif, you're on mute. We can see you, but we can't hear you.

MS. LATIF: Can you hear me now, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes, yes. Thank you.

MS. LATIF: All right. Thank you. My apologies for that. Good morning - good afternoon again. This is Amer Latif on behalf of the Office of the United States Trustee. Your Honor, for the status the monthly operating reports to date have been filed, we have nothing else to report on status at this time.

If Your Honor would like our opinion our position on the motion to withdraw we do not have any objection to that. I just wanted to add that from our office's perspective.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. LATIF: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And it does appear that there's no opposition or change of heart. Heart's probably the wrong word, I'm sorry. Change of position from the debtor. Ms. Kaylie, stay with my question please. Has the

debtor changed its position with respect to its termination of the Morgan Bley firm to your knowledge? 2 MS. KAYLIE: Not to my knowledge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So administratively 4 5 I think this case seems to be in pretty good shape. monthly operating reports are up to date, current. I don't 6 7 think there's any question as to lapsing of insurance. There's been a termination of one of the firms representing 8 the debtor. It's never a comfortable or a good thing. No 9 lawyer ever wants to make that motion, but we are where we 10 are. It's probably the right thing to consider that next. 11 Let's -- is there anything to add to the written 12 record that you've made, Ms. Morgan or Mr. Morgan? Ms. 13 14 Morgan, you can go first. Mr. Morgan, you can go because I see you're unmuted. 15 MR. MORGAN: Judge, --16 17 THE COURT: Yes? MR. MORGAN: (Inaudible) and have been terminated 18 and that we cannot represent the debtor. And also it's 19 20 uncomfortable in that we would have (inaudible). The way I see it communication with the debtor (inaudible) the past 21 22 thirty days of history. (Inaudible). 23 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Morgan, 24 anything to add?

MS. MORGAN: (Inaudible), Your Honor that I do know

that the motion was made on short notice which the motion recognizes too given the debtor's desire to terminate us, you know, absent any objections of anyone here since we already have this on the schedule, but obviously in your discretion however you want procedurally to continue works for us.

THE COURT: All right. Who else would like to be heard on the motion to withdraw as debtor's Counsel?

There's no response.

Before the Court is a motion to withdraw as debtor's Counsel. It does seem that there's a good basis established in that debtor's - by the debtor's principal has indicated that Counsel is terminated. They no longer - it no longer wishes to be represented by the firm.

A lawyer can't be a lawyer to a client when the client has terminated them and just to read into the record the document which is attached and it's attached to an affidavit so it's of evidentiary quality. This is to notify you that I hereby terminate your representation of Sid Boys Corp. effective immediately in the bankruptcy matter involving Sid Boys Corp. Please ensure any and all records and documentation are properly forwarded to Rachel Kaylie who will continue represent - to represent Sid Boys Corp. in the bankruptcy case. Accordingly, cease any and all communication and/or contact with opposing Counsel

representing the landlords. Sincerely, Irene Siderakis, Sid Boys Corp.

I don't think I need to go farther than that.

There's been no indication by continuing Counsel for the debtor that there's been any change or misunderstanding.

p.m. There's a question about the adequacy of notice because it is quite short. It is of course appropriate promptly to bring this to the Court's attention and to the parties attention.

And we note though that the -- some of the information is probably not as helpful as it could be, there's a typo in the website describing the Court, we're not NYCB, we're NYEB as a small thing, but if we're relying - if you've got less than forty-hours of notice I - I - I wonder if that's good enough. ECF notice provided I guess by operation of the filing to Mr. Slome and the U.S. Trustee by mail if notice period is not shortened. What was done if anything for that notice? Nothing yet, would that be the answer?

 $\,$ MS. MORGAN: No, Your Honor because there would be no way for the mail to have arrived in time for them --

THE COURT: Right, I get that.

MS. MORGAN: To see it. I didn't wanna confuse anyone by putting in a notice date that then would have

passed by the time they received it so of course we could
provide the notice of the order granting the withdrawal to
everyone, but it didn't (inaudible) period is not shortened
then I just wanted to account for all circumstances.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, one more thing?

THE COURT: Yes, of course, Mr. Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, this isn't the case where the debtor has to go look for Counsel. The Counsel (inaudible) still representing the debtor so (inaudible) not even gonna be any time (inaudible) bring her up to speed so that's the circumstances for the motion today.

THE COURT: Okay. Because we do have -- would anyone else like to be heard on the motion to withdraw? There's no response. Before the Court is the motion to withdraw the - one of the attorneys representing this debtor, the Morgan Bley firm. Service was - is - is - raises a number of issues including that it provides less than even from the moment of filing less than forty-eight hours of notice and the direction to file an objection actually is incorrect and misidentifies the Court's website.

At the same time I appreciate the very important professional issues that are the source of the motion. A lawyer cannot be a lawyer to a client that has terminated them so I think the best path forward is as follows. I'm

2 or 3 th 4 mo 5 op

gonna grant the motion and ask you to settle a proposed order relieving you as Counsel on seven days' notice on all the parties that are entitled to notice, but that way the motion is granted. We - I'll note the absence of opposition, but that way we also have notice.

If there's any other piece of the picture that needs to be seen there will be that opportunity because what that means is that you would be serving on the appropriate parties, any party entitled to notice and I guess filing with the Court a notice of proposed order relieving you as Counsel with objections to be filed seven days from the date that you make that notice and you need to - I guess I'll ask you to serve that by overnight mail so the seven days would be from that service and we can proceed that way.

We are fortunate to have actually not just Counsel, but the Counsel that's been in the case from the outset able to continue. Mr. Morgan's point is well taken. It would be a very different situation indeed if we were left with an entity with no Counsel because that just doesn't work. So granted as reflected in the record. Settle a proposed order on seven days' notice to all parties entitled to notice as reflected in the record. All right.

I thank you for your service in the case. I - I - I suppose you are welcome to stay, this is a public proceeding. You are free to go. Your motion is granted,

the entry of the order is what relieves you formally, but I'll leave that to your best professional judgment. You may wish to stay.

Sometimes it doesn't come up very often thankfully as I'll say it again, it's - nobody likes this situation, nobody. This is not comfortable for anyone, not even for the Court. Maybe not especially for the Court, but that is the disposition.

I'm gonna explain now how we're going to proceed with the rest of the calendar. We have two motions that speak to each other in effect and I want to hear argument today on that portion of the landlord's judgment creditor's motion, landlord's motions for this part of the relief seeking determination that the time - that the lease should be deemed rejected and for other relief, but I'm focusing on the deemed rejected component of the relief. I think you number it roman numeral I and I will be deferring for today the argument on the motion to convert which to this case a case under Chapter 7.

So, Mr. Slome I had hoped to have this in person, that's why we scheduled this that way, but I'm happy to hear you on Zoom and for the sake of a clear record we moved this - we received and paid close attention to the request of the debtor when this was an in person hearing to adjourn it because among other reasons the debtor's principal had - has

medical concerns about appearing in person and it was in order to accommodate that that we moved the entire hearing to a virtual hearing so that's how we got to where we are.

We met the needs identified in that motion - excuse me, in that request, but also were able to respect the needs identified in the motion to the motion to deem the lease rejected by continuing this hearing, but in this remote posture today.

I'd be happy to take a very short break if that's necessary for anyone, otherwise I'm prepared to hear your argument. Would anyone like to request that we have a five minute break before we hear Mr. Slome and then, Ms. Kaylie I'll hear you on opposition and also on your cross motion. You good to start? It seems like yes. Mr. Slome, over to you. Please proceed.

MR. SLOME: For the record, Thomas Slome, Cullen and Dykman for 514 Fioto Property Corp., that is known as the landlord and 518 Metropolitan Avenue Corp., judgment creditor. I'll stick to an issue of the lease rejection as Your Honor instructed. I'm just gonna give one minute of background for context and I'm gonna do my very best to be (inaudible) as possible here, but I think it's just good to have a little bit of context.

THE COURT: Take the time you need and address the things you think are important. I'll say that to all

Counsel who are speaking. Back to you.

MR. SLOME: Thank you, Judge. So pre-petition we all know that the debtor had to go to Florida, the Covid situation (inaudible) bankruptcy they had (inaudible) current on the last - on the promissory note which was given to the seller back in 2013. There are negotiations, I am not gonna cover them, but, you know, obviously the debtor (inaudible), a settlement was not reached, the judgment creditor filed a motion for summary judgment of our complaint on the promissory note so (inaudible), they were rejected by the Court and the (inaudible).

However, a judgment in August of 2021 a few days or a week or so maybe or the day it was filed (inaudible)

Chapter 11. At the time the (inaudible) was scheduled. I don't think there's any dispute (inaudible), now the debtor had to (inaudible) judgment instead of, you know, a promissory note.

Post-petition I don't think anyone is gonna dispute that there are lots of gaps and errors in various schedules and statement of financial affairs. (Inaudible) took place, I think there was (inaudible). I did not ask any questions about the financials, but we ran out of time and we adjourned so that I could question the debtor.

At that point I discussed (inaudible) with Ms. Kaylie. Ms. Kaylie ensured me that the debtor was gonna

sell the diner and at that point I don't know whether she said it or I said it, but (inaudible), the diner is gonna be sold as opposed to a reorganization, the financials took, you know, quite some time.

Basically nothing happened for six months

(inaudible) and I had brought to the attention of - well, I asked Your Honor that nothing is happening, (inaudible) and Your Honor on February 17th, 2022 directed that the debtor file its bankrupt motion papers by March 10th. (Inaudible) and March 21st (inaudible) conference. Ms. Kaylie indicated that the debtor (inaudible) whether it was to reorganize or try to sell the diner without a broker. (Inaudible) March 25th was the deadline (inaudible) after the petition date.

Normally it's a hundred and twenty, but because of the (inaudible) two hundred and ten days (inaudible) on March 25th.

(Inaudible) asking that the debtor surrender the premises, (inaudible) rejection of the lease (inaudible) surrender the premises. The debtor was unamicable so on April 11th I filed this motion to convert (inaudible) because the lease was rejected. We had some status conferences and Your Honor directed us to mediation and spent maybe a month, month and a half around there (inaudible) in mediation and unfortunately it was unsuccessful.

(Inaudible) Morgan firm and Marcum (inaudible) proposed one hundred percent plan which of course is good to hear as a creditor, but --THE COURT: It's good to hear when you're a Judge too by the way. MR. SLOME: I understand. THE COURT: And I suspect debtors like proposing

them as well. Back to you. I'm sorry, I couldn't resist.

That would be broadly speaking a fabulous result. It was a good development back when it occurred. Unfortunately a lot of time has passed. Back to you.

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) hundred percent plan and (inaudible) didn't have any handle on the finances because of (inaudible), the schedules and the monthly operating reports. It just didn't add up, you know, and (inaudible) somehow the debtor could pay back the debt and (inaudible) another half a million dollars of debt (inaudible).

(Inaudible) October and there were Covid issues, (inaudible) vacations, etc. so it took a while, but that Counsel and the Marcum (inaudible) all of the schedules (inaudible) operating reports.

(Inaudible) wasn't aware of it there's one line that says (inaudible) like that you have to file a list of (inaudible) that must (inaudible) that shows sixty-seven line items just (inaudible) that were amended (inaudible)

monthly operating reports were huge. (Inaudible) and I don't think (inaudible), but if not done which is true and Ms. Kaylie said it is that if there's enough money put into the company, basically a capital contribution that one could then have enough money to make the payments that were in the plan. (Inaudible) that we would like to, you know, (inaudible) or coming close or (inaudible) source of the money because again, Your Honor we've been through sixteen months and I hate to say it, but the old talk is cheap just comes to my mind and (inaudible).

THE COURT: I'm sorry, things like what just come to your mind? I wanted to be sure.

MR. SLOME: Talk is cheap. Ms. Kaylie just said that - sort of implied that the debtor might be (inaudible) come up with the money to meet our demand. I just (inaudible) and it's just because again it's my client and the debtor (inaudible). But again, (inaudible) no matter what happens, Your Honor, but we are not (inaudible) anything unless it's real.

Frankly, as I sit here today it cannot (inaudible) based on the post-petition (inaudible). I think I'm digressing. (Inaudible) sort of a conference of where we're at.

At the last status conference both sides seemed to have (inaudible) it's better to get this kind of (inaudible)

out of the way (inaudible) the next couple of months fighting over (inaudible). I think that motion is fairly straightforward. For a (inaudible) says (inaudible) reject the lease or it (inaudible) surrender is required. That's straightforward.

The debtors, however, opposes that motion. They're saying that - the debtor says that the time should be (inaudible) reject the lease. (Inaudible) and I have to (inaudible) to respond to it.

(Inaudible) other contracts back in 2013 when the (inaudible) property and so there were these other contracts which identify - which the debtor identifies them with the promissory note they had given which is now a judgment and (inaudible). If you look at it it's Exhibit A to the opposition. (Inaudible) and I cannot find that (inaudible) 2013 (inaudible) obligation on either party (inaudible).

So now (inaudible) I know of right now is the lease. They say because (inaudible) transaction and (inaudible) the purchase of the diner (inaudible) I agree with. It turns out she had (inaudible) contract and (inaudible). But now fast forward in the matter of two years they say because of that the time to (inaudible) reject the lease should be the time that they have to (inaudible) the sale agreement and a promissory note.

(Inaudible) problems with that theory. The first

one and (inaudible) use it as background is (inaudible)

Bankruptcy Code. (Inaudible) mischaracterizes (inaudible)

anything like that, (inaudible) other than a lease

(inaudible). And the Code flatly says (inaudible) ten days.

That should be the end of it, but they come up with some

case law that I think (inaudible) in a number of respects.

First of all, the first case as mentioned which I'm

gonna talk about in a second were all (inaudible) or

gonna talk about in a second were all (inaudible) or earlier. (Inaudible) motion which was the Court has to (inaudible) and the Court has (inaudible) required to override the mandates in the Code and I know Your Honor is well aware of that. That was established by (inaudible) cited in her papers (inaudible) at page 421 that you can't use the equitable powers (inaudible) to override the mandates of the Code.

THE COURT: Mr. Slome, I have your - I have your filings in front of me and there are -- I just wanna be sure I'm looking at the same place that you're describing. Could you help me with the - with the reference? I'm thinking maybe this is pages seven and eight, footnotes six and seven.

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: I just wanna follow along as best I can.

MR. SLOME: I apologize, (inaudible) --

THE COURT: The (inaudible), okay. I'm sorry. I'm in your motion.

MR. SLOME: Page four --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLOME: Footnote five.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Back to you.

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) sort of seems that way to me. So I just wanna point out that (inaudible) better relies on are the (inaudible) and I'll talk about that in a second, but (inaudible) came out.

(Inaudible) completely distinguishable (inaudible) in the context of a lot of facts (inaudible) reasoning would come out that (inaudible). So there are (inaudible) decisions which (inaudible) Circuit case which doesn't have to be (inaudible), but it's interesting and the context there there's two (inaudible) contracts in the Seventh Circuit decision.

However, none of those contracts (inaudible) contract according to that and that (inaudible). Okay. I get it. I think what this Court (inaudible) thinking about and (inaudible) thinking about is (inaudible) gonna have to be (inaudible) by confirmation. (Inaudible) to the equity (inaudible) situations (inaudible) at the later confirmation deadline as well. And in fact, (inaudible) Bankruptcy Court decisions (inaudible) of the parties and (inaudible). We

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7

can't have an agreement without (inaudible) agreement and (inaudible) the debtor must fully reject the lease at the hundred and twenty days which it was back then and either or both they now absolutely have to (inaudible) practical matter (inaudible) legislature to simply override 365 as to leases and say (inaudible). Now, the Seventh Circuit just said that's an interesting issue.

The last case (inaudible) contract (inaudible)

primarily the (inaudible) contact. Even before there came a

(inaudible) became a judgment (inaudible) going one way and

the (inaudible). And thirdly, --

THE COURT: Mr. Slome, is it enough that the document that - the obligation that you're addressing, clearly an executory contract really doesn't make sense without other contracts too.

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) 2013 contemplated two things happening, selling the business which is (inaudible) and a lease and rather (inaudible) which you typically have because you have to (inaudible). That's what the (inaudible) agreement is. It's not a franchise (inaudible) it's not an executory agreement, it's (inaudible) agreements that were managed ten years ago and (inaudible) promissory note that's a typical promissory note like this (inaudible) executory contract or have a lease (inaudible).

Let's just say, Your Honor that (inaudible) the

reject the judgment or the promissory note and the settlement agreement and the confirmation makes no sense 2 because there's no executors. The larger gap in facts 3 (inaudible) the lease because they're assigned at the time 4 5 (inaudible) transaction with other contracts that are (inaudible) if you're out of the Code and just from a 6 7 rational point I don't see how (inaudible) opposite the debtor's position and (inaudible). I'm happy to answers 8 questions if it's not clear in response to Ms. Kaylie, 9 however Your Honor wants to proceed. 10

THE COURT: No, I think - I think it's helpful now to hear from debtor's Counsel. Ms. Kaylie, please let me hear from you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, can we take a five minute break? I just want to run to the restroom real quickly.

THE COURT: Oh for goodness sake, of course. You didn't need to say that on the record. Yes, we now are going to take five for any number of reasons. Yes, of course. We're gonna go off --

MS. KAYLIE: Okay. I apologize (inaudible).

THE COURT: I did have another matter calendared. If it's gonna be very quickly I might quickly try to hear that matter too. I'll confer with Ms. Jackson. But of course we'll --

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: Take a short break. 1 MS. KAYLIE: I apologize. 2 THE COURT: We'll take that --3 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 4 5 THE COURT: And then I need to hear from you. Let me just say in a general way I'm concerned about these 6 7 points and I - I - I - I think that there may be something to the points that the landlord makes here and I am first in 8 line to cheerlead for a resolution and I was struck by both 9 the substance and the tone of the second half of Mr. Slome's 10 letter saying no thank you to an adjournment, but we want to 11 see a diner continue. 12 I do think it is time for me to do my job and 13 consider this portion of this motion on the merits. So 14 think about that. We'll take our few minutes. I'm grateful 15 too and then we'll be back and then we'll resume, all right? 16 MS. KAYLIE: I appreciate it, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 19 20 (Off the record). 21 (Recall began). 22 THE CLERK: Recalling the matter of Sid Boys Corp., 21-42207. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Good to see everyone. 24 We're ready to hear from debtor's Counsel Ms. Kaylie in 25

opposition to that portion of the relief requested by landlord that Mr. Slome has addressed and also to hear you 2 to the extent it is a separate motion in support of your 3 motion number forty-six on the docket, number three on 4 today's calendar seeking a determination that the 5 non-residential real property lease in the case be - not be 6 7 assumed or rejected and that the time to assume or reject would be under a different section of the Bankruptcy Code. 8 Ms. Kaylie, over to you. 9 MS. KAYLIE: Good afternoon again. Rachel Kaylie, 10 representing the debtor (inaudible) and I have to address 11 much of it, but (inaudible) brought up and he brought it up 12 in other contracts as well. My client did get PPP funding 13 (inaudible), did get PPP funding prior to the (inaudible) 14 happened with that money. 15 THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, --16 17 MS. KAYLIE: Forty percent --THE COURT: Did you say that the debtor received 18 PPP funding prior to the pandemic? 19 20 MS. KAYLIE: No, during the pandemic. 21 THE COURT: During. Thank you. 22 MS. KAYLIE: Prior to --23 THE COURT: There was no PPP funding prior. 24 to you.

MS. KAYLIE: No, no, --

THE COURT: I was confused. Got it. Back to you. 1 Thank you. 2 MS. KAYLIE: Prior to the petition during the 3 pandemic. 4 THE COURT: Got it. 5 MS. KAYLIE: If I misspoke I apologize. 6 7 THE COURT: If I misheard I apologize. Back to 8 you. MS. KAYLIE: I'm sorry. Approximately forty 9 percent of the (inaudible) PPP should have received went to 10 pay the rent. The remaining sixty percent went to pay 11 payroll under the PPP future payroll protection plan. 12 Your Honor (inaudible) sixty percent of the money 13 (inaudible) business to run. (Inaudible) forty percent of 14 the PPP went to the landlord (inaudible) other purposes went 15 to rent so my client did attempt to do that so I don't want 16 the Court to think that this PPP loan (inaudible). 17 THE COURT: With respect to the relief that the 18 landlord is seeking I'm not considering that one way or the 19 20 other and I'm grateful for your response and the bigger 21 picture. I understand why it's important to the debtor to 22 respond to those statements, but I don't think that really 23 affects one way or another whether or not this contract is a contract of the nature that Mr. Slome describes. Back to 24

25

you.

MS. KAYLIE: Right. 1 THE COURT: I hope that's helpful. 2 MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, (inaudible) which is the 3 subject of the debtor's (inaudible) is that it is part and 4 5 parcel of the overall (inaudible) business agreement. (Inaudible) which I attach as an exhibit to my opposition 6 and cross motion specifically states in paragraph eighteen 7 that the (inaudible) is that it specifically is part and 8 parcel around (inaudible). Part of that (inaudible) the 9 overall (inaudible) business agreement had (inaudible) and 10 the business itself (inaudible) Kellogg's Diner. Kellogg's 11 Diner has been around for close to a hundred years. It is 12 on the (inaudible) which in and of itself has value because 13 that has been around for so long there's a (inaudible). 14 There is ample case law (inaudible) that the 15 (inaudible) a business name (inaudible) so, therefore, what 16 is part of the (inaudible) business agreement and the 17 (inaudible) referenced in re (inaudible). 18 THE COURT: But there's no doubt --19 20 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). THE COURT: That this is not a franchise, isn't 21 22 that right? That is not a fact that could be --23 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). THE COURT: Reasonably disputed I think. 24

MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, I'm not arguing that this

is a franchise per se, what I'm arguing is that the concept is very similar. (Inaudible) the number one franchise that would be McDonald's. (Inaudible) the overall business agreement specifically stated that (inaudible) separate and apart from the actual diner business. If you look at Exhibit A in my papers (inaudible) my client is buying in paragraph one (inaudible) and the name Kellogg's Diner. So it's not just that they're just buying a business, it specifically (inaudible) the name Kellogg's Diner.

(Inaudible) failed business agreement was the (inaudible) contract. Your Honor, I would argue much to the opposite, (inaudible) executory contract.

THE COURT: What are the ongoing duties of the seller?

MS. KAYLIE: That's what I'm getting to, Your
Honor. So the executory contract is (inaudible) our case
and in this case here the seller (inaudible) ongoing
obligations. Now I'm not arguing that my client - you know,
our client clearly has ongoing obligations, but so does the
secured creditor. For instance, (inaudible) obligation of
the secured creditor as well as the debtor to execute and
deliver any more other instruments that may be required to
carry out the terms of this agreement. (Inaudible)
possession of such business and (inaudible) and the seller
will thereafter and forever defend the purchaser against any

claims of any kind or description (inaudible) of such assets or his right to possession (inaudible).

THE COURT: So would you argue that any -
MS. KAYLIE: The secured --

THE COURT: Any - any indemnification type of provision arising from in substance a rep or warranty that you have the ability to sell the thing you are selling return any contract, purchase and sale contract into an executory contract?

MS. KAYLIE: I would, Your Honor. In fact, (inaudible) the Court (inaudible) that the average (inaudible) furnished to the debtor of any document including powers of attorney necessary to (inaudible) obligation. (Inaudible) In addition, there are other obligations of the secured creditor. (Inaudible) agreement provides that the secured creditor is forever obligated to defend the purchaser (inaudible) agreement requires the debtor to (inaudible) of a secured (inaudible) any of the collateral (inaudible) equipment covered by the secured security agreement.

THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, this is a 2021 case. Let's say I agree with you, what happens next? Let's say I set a deadline to confirm a plan of December 30th, what happens --

MS. KAYLIE: Well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Slome has also argued that as a 1 practical matter there's just no ability in the debtor based 2 on everything we see to address the amounts due here. 3 MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, (inaudible) that's not the 4 5 motion that's before us. THE COURT: You can answer - but you may still 6 7 answer my question if you wish. Remember I began with if you win. You don't have to. 8 MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, --9 THE COURT: I mean I can't force you to answer a 10 question, but I can try twice. 11 MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, if we win (inaudible) part 12 and parcel of the executory contract and the debtor like I 13 said has recently obtained (inaudible) equal to over 14 \$1,000,000 and that we're trying to work out a final 15 settlement. 16 THE COURT: Is that anywhere in the record? 17 MS. KAYLIE: No, Your Honor (inaudible). 18 THE COURT: That the debtor has obtained 19 \$1,000,000? 20 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 21 22 THE COURT: The debtor has obtained \$1,000,000, is that in the record? 23 MS. KAYLIE: No, it's not, Your Honor (inaudible). 24 THE COURT: When did the debtor obtain \$1,000,000? 25

```
MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) a couple of days ago.
 1
              THE COURT: Where is the money now? Is it in the
 2
     DIP account?
 3
              MS. KAYLIE: No, not yet, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Where is the money?
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Where is the money now? You said the
     debtor obtained, the debtor, --
 8
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
 9
              THE COURT: Sid Boys Corp. obtained $1,000,000.
10
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) be coming in very, very,
11
     very soon.
12
              THE COURT: So the debtor did not obtain
13
     $1,000,000, is that correct?
14
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) should be coming in in the
15
     next couple of weeks.
16
              THE COURT: What is --
17
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
18
              THE COURT: The source of the $1,000,000?
19
20
              MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, my client says that she
     has refunds coming to her. I believe it was from some grant
21
22
     that were equal to (inaudible) she's got over $700,000 in a
23
     DIP account so between that --
              THE COURT: So - so --
24
              MS. KAYLIE: And whatever is coming in should give
25
```

her --

THE COURT: So what you're actually describing is \$300,000 of new money?

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) in the DIP account.

THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, it makes me sad again to have to remind you of the importance to be precise. We are speaking on the record of a hearing. You said the debtor got \$1,000,000. It turns out what you mean is --

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

in the amended reports plus the money that the source of which we do not know that may arrive in the next few weeks that is a very different thing. I'm sorry to have to say again how important it is not to overstate or misstate even in the best - with the best goals what the situation is because I'm taking - I'm doing my best to take in everything that you are saying and I take it at face value. You tell me the debtor got \$1,000,000.

That's not, I think, quite what you meant. Back to you. Please don't - please may I not have to do that again.

MS. KAYLIE: I apologize, Your Honor. Let me rephrase that. Between the money she's already got in the DIP account and the refund coming in to her totaling roughly \$300,000 (inaudible) the settlement proposal that was since (inaudible) Mr. Slome chose (inaudible) on October 26th.

That is why she has not yet responded to Mr. Slome even though (inaudible) to Mr. Slome's proposed settlement is 2 (inaudible) that time about the money coming in. 3 However, she had found out within the last couple 4 5 of days and (inaudible) today that (inaudible) DIP account and (inaudible) as what I believe is a refund (inaudible) to 6 7 pay on the proposed settlement. THE COURT: Well, would that pay -- is -- to - to 8 be more precise if the debtor has -- if I - if I conclude --9 well, the request to find that this is deemed rejected 10 there's an amount that would be necessary to cure in order 11 to assume what is that amount so far as you know as debtor's 12 Counsel to cure in order to assume? 13 MS. KAYLIE: To cure the (inaudible) is 14 approximately \$440,000. 15 THE COURT: To cure the pre-petition and 16 post-petition amounts due to the landlord how much is that? 17 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) and it's approximately 18 \$440,000. 19 20 THE COURT: Mr. Slome, do you agree? 21 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 22 THE COURT: What's the amount that's due here in order to cure and assume? 23 MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) sort of radiating into 24

settlement discussions, but if Ms. Kaylie is okay with it --

25

THE COURT: We can stay with my questions for now if that's - if that's easier and otherwise I'll leave it to the parties and we can go off the record after the parties have argued if that serves a useful purpose, but, Mr. Slome I wanna have a sense of that amount. A lot of numbers are being thrown around.

MR. SLOME: Approximately to cure the lease arrears is about \$450,000 plus legal fees. (Inaudible) \$500,000 on the lease. For insurance we don't believe that the debtor (inaudible) additional amount I'll (inaudible) what I think it is and it's as large an amount so (inaudible). So if the debtor had (inaudible) show us is available (inaudible) can get a deal done and (inaudible), but I was hopeful with Mr. Morgan and Ms. Morgan that we would get some of the details. (Inaudible) so, you know, (inaudible) just based on the last fifteen months post-petition.

THE COURT: All right.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SLOME}:$$ (Inaudible) settlement conference now in the middle of oral argument.

THE COURT: No, no, no, no, no. You're on the record. I need to hear back from - I need to hear from Ms.

Kaylie. I have a sense of order of magnitude of numbers which - because of course the question is whether the debtor even has the ability to assume. Most creditors are happy to be paid in full and we talked about hundred percent plans

earlier.

Ms. Kaylie, back to you and - and - and we'll refocus now or focus back on your opposition to the motion which is - which seeks a finding of this Court that the loan - excuse me, the lease should be deemed rejected. Back to you, Ms. Kaylie. Thank you, Mr. Slome.

MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, (inaudible) overall to the failed business agreement, specifically (inaudible) not separate from (inaudible) and I have a few more of ongoing obligations of the landlord that (inaudible) secured creditor which (inaudible) business agreement an executory contract of which (inaudible). Therefore, (inaudible) contract then it's valid.

THE COURT: Well, Ms. Kaylie what if I am persuaded that there could be some ongoing obligations or I decide to take a broad view of that, since I think I would take a somewhat broad view, but - but don't agree that one executory contract necessarily brings along others or I think as Mr. Slome put it would read some sections of the Bankruptcy Code specifically concerning non-residential leases out of the Code. I mean that's a problem. There are specific provisions in the Code that put parties on notice, debtors, landlords that non-residential leases have additional requirements and here we are.

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) respond to that

(inaudible) from the debtor. Your Honor, (inaudible) very specific language (inaudible) specifically stated in 2 paragraph eighteen (inaudible). 3 THE COURT: Failed business agreement at paragraph 4 5 eighteen says -- my copy I - I usually am not in a position to be able to show parties my copy, but the copy that was 6 7 filed is, is somewhat challenging to read. MS. KAYLIE: I apologize, Your Honor. My copy is a 8 copy of a copy, it's a very old document so --9 THE COURT: Something security agreement --10 MS. KAYLIE: The copy the Court has --11 THE COURT: For \$2,000,000 --12 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) copy as well. 13 THE COURT: There's paragraph eighteen, now I'll 14 sound silly, comes after seventeen and before nineteen and 15 those numbers are clear although the text is not very clear. 16 17 This is at page five, sale of business agreement. Paragraph eighteen says -- can you read it into the record please? 18 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 19 THE COURT: Agreement -- okay. 20 21 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Agreements made a part 23 hereof note and security agreement and --MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 24 THE COURT: So how -- where does that leave those 25

requirements of the Bankruptcy Code that specifically concern non-residential leases? Does it -- you know, the 2 parties --3 MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, --4 5 THE COURT: Can't contract out of the Code. MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) who is opening a 6 7 restaurant or a salad store (inaudible) not buying the (inaudible). This was a purchase of a business and part of 8 that business is (inaudible) promissory note which is the 9 plain language of the contract, (inaudible) parts thereof. 10 We are not (inaudible) leases. 11 My client did not say (inaudible) to open it 12 somewhere else, she specifically bought the business which 13 includes the promissory note on the lease. It's the plain 14 language of the contract, it speaks for itself. If they 15 wanted the lease to be separate whoever drafted this 16 17 agreement should have then said that the lease is a separate agreement and is not a part thereof, but they didn't, they 18 chose to say specifically it's a part thereof. 19 20 THE COURT: What does the lease say? MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 21 22 THE COURT: What does the lease say? 23 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). THE COURT: So if you pick up the lease and read it 24

25 -

```
MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
 1
              THE COURT: From the first line to the last line
 2
     this information is not there. Don't you think that --
 3
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
 4
              THE COURT: Don't you think that --
 5
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
 6
 7
              THE COURT: It doesn't address it either way, does
     it?
 8
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
 9
              THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, what if there's some other
10
     agreement --
11
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
12
              THE COURT: Somewhere that says something else?
13
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).
14
              THE COURT: What if there's some other agreement
15
     somewhere that says something else?
16
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) and don't buy the
17
     business.
18
              THE COURT: The --
19
20
              MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) buy a business and then
     (inaudible) specific location. She could have - she could
21
     have (inaudible).
22
              THE COURT: So let's say that --
23
              MS. KAYLIE: But she didn't, she --
24
              THE COURT: I decide that there's enough to each of
25
```

your arguments that the right way to proceed would be to set a deadline to confirm a plan which would require addressing all of these agreements of the end of the year so we have the finality that the debtor --

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: We have the finality that the landlord is seeking and we have a window in this case filed back in 2021 for the debtor, but we also know that we are done at that point because you've indicated you'll have - you've got the funding, you'll have the funding and - and fine, if that's all true it's -- you know, we're certainly always eager to see businesses reorganize and creditors get paid and your letter and Mr. Slome's letter do intersect and one overlap in their objectives in one way.

You both indicate that you'd like to see the diner continue as a diner. What -- how - how do you respond to that?

MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, I think that would be (inaudible).

THE COURT: I mean I think it would -- there are -you both made good points. I'm concerned that the lease on
its four corners does seem to trigger some of the
requirements of the Bankruptcy Code including time limits
that are important and they're there for a reason and one of
the reasons that -- you know, there was a lot of legislative

history behind those amendments as I'm recalling generally.

But - but then we have this context of other transactions

and a one directional reference not in the operative

document which for today's purposes is the lease, but in a

different document.

That's some - that's -- you know, there's - there's - there's - there's things to consider on both sides of that question. Even if the documents are hard to read we can decipher them.

But the only way that would make sense would seem to be consistent with everything else that's part of this picture a hard firm deadline that the lease would be deemed rejected unless a plan is confirmed by two weeks, a month, the end of the year, I don't know. I would probably have to think about and hear from the parties on that, but --

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: I think that may be right. Do we have a deadline to confirm a plan? What happens the day after if a plan is not confirmed other than it's New Year's Eve?

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

aspect to this that I've seen in many other cases which is yes, in substance be careful what you wish for, but at the same time sometimes you just need to be done, you need to be done, you need to know, you need to have a timeframe and a deadline and to know what's going to happen next. So back

to you, Ms. Kaylie.

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) I believe it was December 30th was the deadline.

THE COURT: I think that's - I think that's right.

MS. KAYLIE: It was either the 30th or the 31st, I don't remember.

THE COURT: The 31st is technically I think a federal holiday and I don't set deadlines on federal holidays. At least I try very hard to avoid it. So I'm looking at our notes about status. All right.

MS. KAYLIE: I believe it was December 30th if I remember correctly.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Kaylie I've got your submissions, your - your - both your arguments and your response to my question would help. Is there anything else you'd like to address before we go back to Mr. Slome and then I may take my other -- do we have my other matter to be heard?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: We do have another matter so I could
Zoom you into a room for a few minutes. I'm not gonna give
you a decision today. My anticipation is to give you an
oral decision as soon as next week, maybe early next week.
Friday is a federal holiday so I have to keep reminding
myself this week is shorter than it feels. Today is the end

of the week for us. But that - you know, that's one path.

I'm - I'm - I guess I have the blessing and the curse of great optimism whenever - whenever I think there could be some common ground and here again I see it in this arguable common interest in - as interesting as these technical issues are and they are both interesting and somewhat technical, you know, an ongoing business. Anything - anything further, Ms. Kaylie either in support of your cross motion --

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: Or --

MS. KAYLIE: Oh, I'm sorry. Rachel Kaylie for the debtor. Your Honor, I would (inaudible), you know, set a deadline to confirm a plan that would be very helpful in this situation and (inaudible) I think that might be something that the debtor can work with.

THE COURT: I mean it would be something like a deadline and then in the -- if there's no plan confirmed then the next day, the next business day the lease would be deemed rejected and the landlord would have stayed relief. I think an agreement along those lines is certainly something I would consider. People might need to talk to their clients. Mr. Slome?

MR. SLOME: Thomas Slome for the landlord (inaudible). There are two points I wanna make and one

thing that came up which I think (inaudible) indemnity which has never been called upon which is not (inaudible) very 2 confident that if there was (inaudible). 3 (Inaudible) actually pull up the rest of the cases 4 5 right now, but if Your Honor feels that it's important I definitely can establish that, that's not an issue. 6 7 The point of fact is (inaudible) paragraph eighteen it's just saying (inaudible) attachments made a part 8 thereof. It's not a typical sale agreement with clauses. 9 (Inaudible) that have to be (inaudible) of the lease 10 (inaudible). There's no such agreement that ever existed 11 like that. (Inaudible) executory obligation (inaudible) 12 landlord missed it. 13 (Inaudible) statute of limitations. First of all, 14 (inaudible) never will and it mentions (inaudible) all the 15 cases this case relies on. It's why other contracts 16 17 (inaudible) deadline or predominant contract. THE COURT: I'm sorry, say that again. It's the 18 what contract? 19 20 MR. SLOME: There are two cases that Ms. Kaylie relies on, the Seventh Circuit (inaudible) executory 21 22 contract (inaudible) contract. The franchise, right. 23 THE COURT: MR. SLOME: The franchise agreement. And if you 24

read the decision you'll see that that goes to the lease.

25

The lease - the franchise agreement (inaudible) and each gas station has a lease. So that I can see her argument (inaudible).

Now, when Your Honor suggests, and I know Your Honor likes to see deals made, you know, I would go back to my client and I would suggest the following if we have a hard deadline which cannot be extended of December 30th that a plan has to be confirmed otherwise the lease is then rejected and the landlord (inaudible).

THE COURT: I mean the Code --

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: Uses the word immediately. We've checked that a couple of times to be sure. It's an unusual - not a lot of adjectives in the Code. Back to you.

MR. SLOME: Your Honor, I would suggest (inaudible) regardless of how you decide (inaudible) can get a buyer lined up and operate it and so we're not worried about that and if the debtor operates it and comes up with enough money then (inaudible) let the debtor operate. We just don't want to set up a deferred situation and be back here (inaudible). It's very frustrating. That's the (inaudible). They can confirm a plan and (inaudible) not to be extended, they confirm, (inaudible) entered, doesn't have to be effective (inaudible) rejected and has to be surrendered immediately.

THE COURT: All right.

1

MR. SLOME:

I think there's valid argument

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Court because there's almost two parallel universes here of the documents, the agreements, the - if someone's got a

THE COURT: I think so. It's been very helpful to

better copy could they please file it? The - the difficult

to read, but we get there every time squinting, but yes,

documents made a part or agreements made a part hereof,

three bullet points, and then separately something else and

so - anyway, and as I've told you I'm - I'm gonna - I'm

prepared to close the record today on this aspect of the

motion, get to work on my decision and then give it to you

as promptly as possible, I'm hoping next week. And we're 12

struggling a little bit because we got a long - we got a lot

of pretty full days next week, but - but I think what I --

excuse me one second. 15

> I think we're gonna do this just because we do have parties waiting patiently and I have a clock in the back of my Courtroom that has not been reset for the time change so I keep thinking it's even later than it is. It is not two minutes of 5:00, it's two minutes of 4:00, but we're gonna invite you into Zoom or when you can excuse yourselves to call anyone you feel like calling, but I'm - you know, I'll take an agreement on those terms on the record today, but I don't think that's likely. Just - yeah, probably just attorneys make sense. I don't think Ms. Latif needs to be

part of this. You can sort out who should talk with whom.

I need to call - we need to call the next matter and then come back, do our best to find a date to get you a decision on this question which I think raises a number of - of legal issues and you'll give me something interesting to work on.

But the one thing we need now is a path forward that is firm and definite and gets you a decision on this motion or a context in which to get an agreement in a period of days and then a resolution from the standpoint of if there is an agreement, a hard, a confidence inspiring resolution with consequences, you know, within a period of not days, but weeks or, you know, I'm looking year end, I don't know, it seems to make some sense perhaps.

So that's - so that's what we're doing next. You have a second call. If you were here in person I would send you into that conference room.

MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, --

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Kaylie anything further?

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) I would actually prefer to (inaudible) or something because I need time with my client (inaudible).

THE COURT: Then you can just keep everything on mute, but we're going to proceed as I've indicated and I encourage you not to waste an opportunity. You may not have

many more.

MS. KAYLIE: Okay.

THE COURT: We're a -- I can see the end of the road on this. One way or another you need a decision, you're going to get it, you're going to get it soon. And I -- and delay is the one thing that will not move this matter forward nor that I -- and it's also something that I really cannot accommodate at this point, it needs to be - it needs to make sense.

So you can speak if you wish first to speak with your client, that's fine too. Let Ms. Jackson call the other matter, you have a second call. And please use this as an opportunity, there's really no reason not to. All right. I leave it to the parties whether the State Court Counsel should be part of this.

Again, I'm so sorry you weren't able to come in person because it would be so much easier to say there's a conference room, there's the jury room and there's the corridor. You can inform Ms. Jackson who can e-mail you perhaps or something. All right. Let's move to the next matter.

(Off the record).

(Recall began).

THE CLERK: Recalling the matters of Sid Boys Corp., 21-42207.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon again. This is Judge Stong. I understand it's a good opportunity to go back on the record, see if there's anything further to add and then figure out the right path forward. Let's hear from Mr. Slome. You're the one in my screen, you get to go first. Thank you.

MR. SLOME: Thank you, Judge. Thomas Slome, for the landlord and the judgment creditor. (Inaudible) so I can't tell you if there's going to be (inaudible) early next week. What I just wanted to talk about was (inaudible) suggestions to see if our clients might be interested in, you know, (inaudible) rejected as of December 30th if that plan is confirmed that's gonna be (inaudible). Our clients would not object to a combining of (inaudible) and confirmation hearing. (Inaudible), but I understand why Ms. Kaylie would want that because it might be possible (inaudible) if she wasn't able to combine it and I think on this case (inaudible). I shouldn't say that.

I think (inaudible) type of plan that's (inaudible) my client and that's what I'd recommend to them. The order that has been entered now, you know, (inaudible) would require the surrender of the diner to the landlord (inaudible). Ms. Kaylie then asked that (inaudible) couple of weeks after that date to just take care of business, copy records or whatever, (inaudible) get new jobs and I said

those (inaudible) happened. (Inaudible) obviously my clients (inaudible) and, you know, (inaudible) take pictures and then they (inaudible) any damage. (Inaudible) there would be no damage and again, (inaudible) that would be one way to (inaudible).

(Inaudible) settling which I don't wanna get into (inaudible) any such plan should be (inaudible) effective date to a certain amount (inaudible) and the note arrears.

We're very firm the diner would have to pay mortgage payments timely and normal rent payments only and, you know, (inaudible) that it's possible the diner will have sufficient cash flow to (inaudible) make under the plan and there's a (inaudible) we don't end up back (inaudible)

Chapter 11. So that's sort of the gist of what we talked about and (inaudible) kind of thing, (inaudible) my client and Ms. Kaylie will talk to her client about. Ms. Kaylie (inaudible).

THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie?

MS. KAYLIE: Rachel Kaylie for the debtor for the record. Your Honor, (inaudible) just found out a lot of this today so I obviously would need time to speak with my client and with the accountant as would Mr. Slome with his client. You know, I'm sure my client and everybody agrees that (inaudible) understand that. (Inaudible) disclosure statement and (inaudible), but I don't think there's any

changes to it (inaudible) everybody but the landlord. I also don't know the (inaudible) --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, when you say the disclosure statement is the idea that we would have a combined hearing in the context of a consensual plan on a - on an amended disclosure statement and a confirmation of the plan and we have a preliminary approval of the amended disclosure statement or that we would just do away with the requirement of a disclosure statement entirely?

MS. KAYLIE: No, no, no, we're (inaudible). In other words, I believe (inaudible) we were supposed to have (inaudible) disclosure statement here on December I believe 15th and then the 30th was the confirmation. I'm (inaudible) that doing combined (inaudible) waste any legal fees on something that isn't going to happen then, you know, my client's already spent so much on legal fees I don't wanna waste (inaudible) resources. (Inaudible) to do an amended disclosure, I'm just saying the time for the amended disclosure should be combined with the hearing on the confirmation of the plan.

THE COURT: Ms. Kaylie, when we get to the point that that's the only thing to talk about in this case we'll be at a very good point indeed.

MS. KAYLIE: I would be hopeful.

THE COURT: So I think you've really described the

outlines of something that will give this situation the best possible opportunity to succeed as a reorganization. I have separately the legal issues that you briefed and now argued and the factual record including the blurry, but readable though only barely document.

Mr. Gottesman, anything to add on the record? It sounds like you were very helpful off the record. I'm glad to know that. It takes a village --

MR. GOTTESMAN: (Inaudible) I'll just say one thing, we're supportive of (inaudible) support that. I understand that there are issues between the debtor and the landlord that need to get worked out (inaudible) support that process and the new process.

THE COURT: All right. When do you think would be a productive time for me to set the deadline for the parties to file a status letter indicating whether the matter, the issue that has been now argued to the Court has been resolved as part of a settlement?

I would need you to agree that it resolved by the way. If one side says yes and the other side says no that is not what I would view as an agreement. How much - how much time makes sense for that? You have clients you need to speak with, you need to speak with each other, you need to be at a comfort level that if there is an agreement that it's an agreement, not just a good sense of what an

agreement might look like.

That won't - that - I don't think that will satisfy anybody perhaps even including me. Mr. Slome, what's your sense of the timing? When shall I ask you to tell me that?

MR. SLOME: Your Honor, (inaudible) separate status and that's depending on whether we can (inaudible). At some point (inaudible) I think we are going to have an order as maybe attached to the joint letter if we don't have an agreement on the order. If we don't and need a few more days we will tell Your Honor.

And honestly Your Honor may have questions about it so I don't mean to be presumptuous if you had time after that, you know, (inaudible) the week of the 21st to talk about it and if you'd like to get an order entered (inaudible) because at that point Ms. Kaylie has to move rather rapidly on her combined (inaudible).

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: And I'm fine with -- everything else you know, if everything else comes together on consent a
combined hearing, conditional approval and then final
approval and confirmation is fine with me. So, Ms. Kaylie
does that timeframe sound about right to you? Parties to
file status letter on --

MS. KAYLIE: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I apologize.

THE COURT: Oh. 1 MS. KAYLIE: Rachel Kaylie for the debtor. Your 2 Honor, as far as (inaudible) order to submit I would 3 (inaudible) the 18th I have a conflict, I have an order on 4 5 an appeal in the Appellate Division in State Court so I would ask for (inaudible), but I'm not available the 18th. 6 7 THE COURT: Fine. Status letter and proposed - it would be I guess a stipulation and a proposed order if 8 appropriate. Don't wait until Wednesday night to start 9 writing that because I think you'll see whether this works. 10 It's - when you see if you can get the words on a piece of 11 paper. Stipulation and proposed order if appropriate by 12 17th. And then --13 MS. KAYLIE: The 17th is fine with me. 14 THE COURT: Sorry? 15 MS. KAYLIE: I said the 17th is fine with me. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay, good. And then we won't say come back on the 18th because you'll need to breathe and you'll 18 need to argue, you'll be busy. What department are you in, 19 20 Ms. Kaylie? MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible) the State Court 21 22 (inaudible). THE COURT: It's around the corner. 23 MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible). 24

THE COURT: I'm on Rowe Place, you'll be in the

25

neighborhood of our Courthouse.

MS. KAYLIE: I will be in the neighborhood. It's actually (inaudible).

THE COURT: Oh, my goodness. I do see people going in and out of that building.

MS. KAYLIE: (Inaudible).

THE COURT: So I'm looking at the next week which is kind of a mess of a week because it's a short week.

We've got - I mean you could join my eighty-six Chapter 13 matters on Monday, but that's probably not where you wanna be. We already have morning and afternoon on Tuesday. The day before Thanksgiving is not a day I'm inclined to commit to, to ask you to commit to being at a hearing. Maybe November 30th. That would be a - that looks like a strangely open day for me. Ms. Jackson, what am I missing?

MR. SLOME: Your Honor, may I make a suggestion?
THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. SLOME: (Inaudible) is that if the letter, you know, it's 5:00 on the 16th and if you have any time on the 17th (inaudible) Your Honor may look at it and (inaudible) then the order could get entered on the 17th and we're off to the races (inaudible) is possible, but (inaudible). (Inaudible) the 17th and Your Honor's availability for --

MS. KAYLIE: I'm not -- Rachel Kaylie for the debtor for the record. I have multiple conflicts on the

17th so --

THE COURT: It's a good -- it's -- well, if you've got, if you've got what you need to have by the 17th we can figure out a way to get -- you don't - I don't know that you need time in front of me in order to be working on that plan and disclosure statement which will be part and parcel of that agreement indirectly I suppose. 17th, 18th don't work. Then the next week is the short week. The date I was going to say that is - well, I just said it actually. The 30th is a day when I have time.

If I need to be ruling on this I can give you a ruling by then. If I don't need - and I - and if I don't need to be ruling on this and we need to set a telephonic conference as promptly as possible or something like that you could request that in the letter. As a holding date I'm gonna suggest November 30th at 2:00.

MR. SLOME: That's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: And we can --

MS. KAYLIE: That's fine for me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Set something in response to a request that is joint and makes sense. We will do everything we can to meet the needs of the case and facilitate this moving forward in a productive way.

So first, thank you again. Second, hold this - please hold these good thoughts. Third, for a holding date

November 30th at 2:00. I assume it's by video. I tried to get you in person, it didn't work, but this has been the next best thing thanks to your efforts. Thank you, thank you.

MS. KAYLIE: Your Honor, if it's November 30th I can do it in person if you prefer.

THE COURT: Let's try, let's try. I think we got a lot to learn what's going to happen between now and then.

That'll all be subject to confirmation, but the date and time is gonna be November 30th at 2:00. Yes?

MALE VOICE 1: (Inaudible) 10:00 hearing that I have (inaudible) I represent all the parishes and (inaudible), but I'm not sure how I can do it and be in Brooklyn at 2:00. If the virtual software is working as much as the parties would like to be in person this time I would like to make the request to keep it virtual.

meet the needs of the parties including if - including the need you've just described. If before then we've had a telephonic hearing and I've taken the good news of your comprehensive global resolution on the record we may not even need this date, we may be looking at a different date although I suppose at some point we'd have to have the conditional approval of the disclosure statement as long as we're continuing to put the cart before the horse. But good

point and we will of course do what we need to accommodate.

I gather that is an in - that is a virtual hearing? You're not gonna be --

MALE VOICE 1: That's right.

THE COURT: Across the river?

MALE VOICE 1: No, that's virtual.

THE COURT: Okay.

MALE VOICE 1: (Inaudible) I apologize.

THE COURT: All right. So that's what we're doing as for today. It comes down to marking the docket. I've noted your appearances. The case management conference is adjourned for holding date purposes November 30th at 2:00 p.m. likely on video.

With respect to the motion of - the two motions really of the debtor and the landlord parties to submit status letter and stipulation and proposed order if appropriate by November 17th because I do think sooner is better than later on that and we have a holding date of the 30th to adjustment - to adjust if we need to. Same with debtor's cross motion, motion to withdraw as Counsel granted, settle order seven days' notice on all parties entitled to notice. That helps address some of the arguable shortcomings and the notice of that motion so that's off the calendar and that's it. All right. You have got your work cut out. Thank you very much and good luck.

MR. SLOME: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. KAYLIE: Thank you. I appreciate all your efforts. THE COURT: Thank you back. MR. SLOME: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: And I, yours. THE CLERK: The hearing is concluded. I'll stop the recording. Thank you. [Proceedings concluded]

Signature:

CERTIFICATE

I, Lynn O'Reilly, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

lyn O'Raily

ADL Transcription Services

24 Crossway Drive

Deer Park, New York 11729

Date: November 15, 2022

< Dates > February 17th, 2022 20:9 March 21st 20:11 March 25th 20:13, 20:17 November 10, 2022 1:7 November 15, 2022 63:12 **November 17th** 61:18 October 26th 10:2, 10:24, 37:1 October 8th 14:7 **September, 2022** 6:5 **\$1,000,000** 34:16, 34:21, 34:23, 35:1, 35:11, 35:15, 35:20, 36:9, 36:19 \$2,000,000 40:13 **\$300,000** 36:4, 36:25 **\$440,000** 37:16, 37:20 **\$450,000** 38:9 \$500,000 38:9 \$700,000 35:23 **audio 3:2 **-X** 1:3, 1:9

<1> **1** 60:12, 61:5, 61:7, 61:9 100 1:35 **10014** 1:31 1006 1:30 10709 1:42 10:00 60:12 **10th** 20:10 **11** 6:8, 19:15, 53:15 **11201** 1:46 **11235** 1:26 **11530** 1:36 **11729** 2:7, 63:11 11th 20:21 12th 1:45 13 58:10 15th 54:14 16th 58:20 **1702** 1:25 **17th** 57:14, 57:15, 57:17,

58:21, 58:22, 58:24, 59:2,

18th 57:5, 57:7, 57:19, 59:8

59:4. 59:8

< 2 > 201 1:30
2013 19:7, 23:11, 23:17, 26:17
2021 19:13, 33:22, 43:9
205 1:25
21-42207 1:4, 3:5, 28:24, 52:1
21st 56:14
24 2:6, 63:10
274 1:41
277-7900 2:8
2:00 59:17, 60:2, 60:11, 60:15, 61:13

< 3 >
300 1:45
30th 6:8, 33:24, 45:4, 45:6,
45:12, 48:8, 52:13, 54:14,
58:15, 59:10, 59:17, 60:2,
60:6, 60:11, 61:13, 61:20
31st 45:6, 45:8
365 26:6

< 4 >
421 24:14
4:00 49:21
4E 1:20

< 5 >

514 1:33, 4:5, 4:8, 4:21, 4:24, 18:18 **518** 1:34, 4:6, 4:24, 18:19

5:00 14:7, 49:21, 58:20

< 6 > 6 1:41 60607 1:21 631 2:8

< 7 > 7 17:20

< 9 > 900 1:20 [proceedings 62:10]

< A > **A.** 5:3 **ABBAS** 1:28 ability 33:8, 34:3, 38:25 **able** 16:17, 18:6, 40:7, 51:17, 52:18 absence 16:5 absent 13:4 **Absolutely 26:5, 56:18** accommodate 18:3, 51:9, 61.2 accomplish 8:23 according 25:20 **Accordingly** 13:25 account 15:5, 35:4, 35:24, 36:5, 36:11, 36:24, 37:6 accountant 7:14, 7:15, 10:8, 53:23 accurate 11:1, 63:4 **Across** 61:6 actual 32:6 **actually** 7:1, 15:22, 16:16, 36:3, 47:5, 50:21, 58:4, 59.10 **add** 9:2, 9:8, 11:19, 12:13, 12:25, 21:16, 52:4, 55:7 addition 33:15 additional 38:11, 39:25 address 6:11, 6:12, 18:25, 29:12, 34:4, 42:8, 45:17, 61:23 addressed 29:3 addressing 5:10, 26:14, 43:3 adequacy 14:8 adjectives 48:15 adjourn 17:25 adjourned 19:24, 61:13 adjournment 28:12 adjust 61:20 adjustment 61:20 **ADL** 2:5, 63:9 administratively 12:5 **affairs** 19:21

affects 30:24

affidavit 13:19

afternoon 3:12, 3:15, 3:18,

29:11, 52:2, 58:12

4:2, 4:15, 5:4, 5:18, 11:12,

ago 5:21, 8:11, 10:4, 10:16, 26:23, 35:2 agree 23:20, 33:23, 37:21, 39:18, 55:20 Agreement 23:25, 26:2, 26:21, 26:22, 27:3, 31:6, 31:11, 31:18, 32:5, 32:11, 32:24, 33:16, 33:18, 33:21, 39:9, 39:12, 40:5, 40:11, 40:18, 40:21, 40:24, 41:18, 41:19, 42:12, 42:16, 46:22, 47:10, 47:12, 47:25, 48:2, 49:24, 50:10, 50:12, 55:22, 55:25, 56:1, 56:2, 56:10, 59:8 Agreements 26:22, 40:23, 43:4, 49:5, 49:8 agrees 53:24 **ALANNA** 1:18 almost 49:4 already 13:5, 36:11, 36:23, 54:17, 58:12 although 3:8, 40:17, 60:24 amended 22:1, 36:12, 54:6, 54:8. 54:19 amendments 44:2 **Amer** 1:28, 5:3, 5:5, 11:13 **among** 18:1 amount 37:12, 37:13, 37:23, 38:6, 38:11, 38:12, 53:9 amounts 34:4, 37:18 ample 31:16 and/or 14:1 answer 14:21, 34:7, 34:8, 34.11 answers 27:9 anticipation 45:23 anybody 56:4 anyway 49:10 apart 32:6 **Apologies** 4:25, 11:11 apologize 5:23, 7:10, 25:1, 27:21, 28:3, 30:7, 30:8, 36:22, 40:9, 57:1, 61:9 appeal 57:6 appear 11:23 appearance 4:1 **APPEARANCES** 1:15, 2:1,

3:13, 61:12

appearing 3:19, 18:2

Appellate 57:6 25:7, 26:4, 28:17, 29:24, 60:15 Change 11:24, 11:25, 14:6, appreciate 15:23, 28:18, 62:3 brought 20:7, 29:13 49:19 30:2, 30:8, 30:25, 36:20, appropriate 6:10, 14:9, 16:9, 38:22, 39:3, 39:4, 39:6, Building 1:29, 58:6 changed 12:2 43:8, 45:1, 45:17, 48:6, **bullet** 49:9 changes 54:2 57:10, 57:13, 61:18 business 26:18, 30:15, 31:6, approval 54:8, 56:22, 56:23, 48:15, 48:21, 49:18, 50:4, Chapter 6:8, 17:20, 19:15, 52:4, 53:14, 57:19, 62:5 31:11, 31:12, 31:17, 31:18, 53:15, 58:10 **Approximately 30:10, 37:16,** background 7:10, 18:22, 24:2 32:4, 32:6, 32:9, 32:11, characterizing 10:23 37:19, 38:8 bankrupt 20:10 cheap 22:10, 22:14 32:25, 39:9, 39:12, 40:5, **April** 20:21 **checked** 48:14 **Bankruptcy** 1:1, 1:12, 13:21, 40:18, 41:9, 41:10, 41:14, arguable 46:6, 61:23 13:25, 19:5, 24:3, 25:25, 42:19, 42:21, 46:8, 46:20, cheerlead 28:10 argue 32:12, 33:4, 57:20 29:9, 39:21, 41:2, 43:24 52:25 Chicago 1:21 argued 34:2, 38:5, 55:4, 55:18 barely 55:6 businesses 43:13 **chose** 8:18, 37:1, 41:20 Circuit 25:15, 25:18, 26:7, arguing 32:1, 32:2, 32:19 based 22:22, 34:3, 38:16 busy 57:20 argument 17:12, 17:19, 18:12, Basically 20:6, 22:5 buy 42:18, 42:21 47.22 38:20, 48:3, 49:2 **basis** 13:12 **buyer** 48:17 **circumstances** 15:5. 15:13 became 26:11 buying 32:7, 32:9, 41:8 cited 24:14 arguments 43:2, 45:15 arising 33:7 becoming 6:24 **City** 1:36 began 28:22, 34:8, 51:24 **claims** 33:2 around 10:12, 20:24, 31:10, < C > 31:13, 31:15, 38:7, 57:24 **begin** 5:14 **clauses** 47:10 arrears 38:8, 53:9 **behalf** 3:20, 4:16, 5:4, 5:5, Cadman 1:45 clear 17:23, 27:10, 40:17 11:13 calendar 3:5, 5:8, 6:11, 8:1, **arrive** 36:13 clearer 6:1 arrived 14:23 behind 44:2 8:11, 17:11, 29:6, 61:25 clearly 26:15, 32:20 aspect 44:22, 49:11 **believe** 35:22, 37:7, 38:10, calendared 27:22 **CLERK** 3:4, 9:4, 9:6, 28:23, assets 33:2 **call** 49:23, 50:3, 50:17, 51:12, 45:3, 45:12, 54:12, 54:13 45:20, 51:25, 62:8 assigned 27:5 **best** 16:1, 17:3, 18:22, 24:24, 51:13 client 6:19, 6:21, 7:13, 10:8, called 47:3 assume 5:11, 29:8, 37:13, 36:16, 36:17, 50:4, 55:2, 13:16, 13:17, 15:25, 22:17, 37:14, 37:24, 38:25, 60:2 60:4, 60:18 Calling 3:4, 49:23 29:14, 30:17, 32:7, 32:19, assumed 29:8 **candor** 10:22 **better** 5:24, 6:13, 23:1, 25:9, 32:20, 35:21, 41:13, 48:7, attach 31:7 49:6, 61:19 capital 22:5 50:22, 51:12, 52:21, 53:16, **bigger** 30:21 care 52:25 attached 13:18, 56:9 53:17, 53:23, 53:24, 54:17 attachments 47:9 bit 18:24, 49:14 careful 44:23 clients 46:24, 52:12, 52:14, blessing 46:3 carry 32:24 53:3, 55:23 attempt 30:17 attention 5:20, 14:10, 14:11, Bley 1:17, 5:13, 8:2, 8:4, 12:3, cart 61:1 **clock** 49:18 15:18 **Cascione** 1:38, 1:40, 3:7, close 7:17, 17:24, 22:8, 31:13, 17:24, 20:7 **blurry** 55:5 attorney 33:14 4:20, 4:22, 4:23 49.11 attorneys 15:17, 50:1 **Blvd** 1:20, 1:35 case 5:8, 6:3, 6:9, 9:3, 12:6, closer 7:1, 7:20 audio 3:9 Code 24:3, 24:5, 24:12, 24:16, **bought** 41:14 13:25, 15:9, 16:17, 16:24, **August** 19:13 Boys 1:6, 3:4, 13:20, 13:22, 17:19, 17:20, 24:7, 24:8, 27:7, 29:9, 39:21, 39:22, availability 58:24 13:24, 14:3, 28:23, 35:11, 25:15, 26:9, 29:7, 31:16, 39:23, 41:2, 41:6, 43:24, available 6:24, 38:13, 57:7 51:25 48:11, 48:15 32:17, 32:18, 33:22, 43:8, Avenue 1:25, 4:9, 18:19 break 18:10, 18:13, 27:16, 28:2 47:17, 52:19, 54:23, 59:23, collateral 33:20 **COLLEN 1:33** average 33:12 breaking 10:18 61:12 avoid 45:10 breathe 57:19 cases 44:22, 47:5, 47:17, combine 52:18 briefed 55:4 combined 54:5, 54:15, 54:20, aware 7:23, 21:23, 24:13 47:21 cash 53:13 away 54:9 **bring** 14:10, 15:12 56:17, 56:22 brings 39:19 cease 13:25 combining 52:15 broad 39:17, 39:18 certain 53:9 comes 22:11, 40:16, 48:19, < B > **broadly** 21:10 certainly 43:12, 46:22 56:21. 61:11 **broker** 20:13 certify 63:3 comfort 55:25 Back 9:22, 19:2, 19:7, 21:9, **Brooklyn** 1:6, 1:26, 1:46, **comfortable** 12:10, 17:7 21:11, 21:12, 21:17, 23:11, challenging 40:8

coming 22:8, 35:12, 35:16, 25:16, 44:3, 50:10, 54:6 61:21 definite 50:9 Crossway 2:6, 63:10 definitely 47:7 35:22, 36:1, 36:24, 37:4 **continue** 8:4, 8:9, 13:6, 13:24, commit 58:13, 58:14 16:18, 28:13, 43:17 Cullen 4:7, 18:17 **delay** 51:7 **CONTINUED** 2:2 cure 37:12, 37:14, 37:15, deliver 32:23 common 46:5, 46:6 communication 10:18, 12:22, continuing 14:5, 18:8, 61:1 37:17, 37:24, 38:8 **demand** 22:16 contract 23:21, 25:20, 26:9, current 12:7, 19:6 department 57:20 company 22:5 26:15, 26:25, 30:24, 30:25, **curse** 46:4 depending 56:7 complaint 19:11 **cut** 62:1 described 10:17, 55:1, 60:20 32:12, 32:13, 32:17, 33:9, describes 30:25 completely 25:12 33:10, 34:14, 39:13, 39:14, component 17:17 39:19, 41:6, 41:11, 41:16, describing 14:14, 24:19, 36:3 < D > comprehensive 60:22 47:18, 47:20, 47:23 description 33:2 concept 32:2 contracts 23:11, 23:12, 25:17, **D.** 1:19, 4:2 **desire** 13:3 **details** 38:15 concern 8:21, 41:3 25:19, 26:16, 27:6, 29:14, damage 53:4, 53:5 concerned 28:7, 43:22 47.17 **Date** 11:15, 12:7, 15:1, 16:12, determination 17:15, 29:6 concerning 39:21 contribution 22:5 20:14, 50:4, 52:25, 53:9, development 10:25, 21:11 concerns 18:2 conversation 10:8 59:9, 59:16, 60:1, 60:10, different 10:17, 16:19, 29:9, conclude 37:10 conversations 7:13 60:23, 61:13, 61:19, 63:12 36:14, 44:6, 60:23 concluded 62:8 day 19:14, 44:18, 46:20, 58:13, difficult 3:2, 49:6 convert 5:9, 17:19, 20:21 concluded] 62:10 **copy** 40:6, 40:7, 40:9, 40:10, 58:16, 59:11 digressing 22:23 conditional 56:22, 60:25 40:12, 40:14, 49:6, 52:25 days 5:21, 8:11, 12:23, 16:3, **Diner** 20:2, 20:3, 20:13, 23:20, confer 27:24 corner 57:24 16:12, 16:14, 16:22, 19:13, 28:13, 31:12, 31:13, 32:6, conference 3:9, 5:8, 20:11, **corners** 43:23 20:16, 24:5, 26:4, 35:2, 32:8, 32:10, 43:16, 43:17, 22:23, 22:25, 38:19, 50:18, **Corp.** 1:6, 3:4, 4:8, 4:9, 13:21, 37:6, 49:15, 50:11, 50:14, 52:23, 53:10, 53:12 **DIP** 35:4, 35:24, 36:5, 36:24, 51:19, 59:15, 61:12 13:22, 13:24, 14:3, 18:18, 56:11, 61:22 conferences 20:23 18:19, 28:23, 35:11, 52:1 deadline 6:7, 20:14, 25:25, 37.6 confidence 50:12 direct 5:24 Correct 3:23, 4:21, 35:15 33:24, 43:3, 44:12, 44:18, confident 47:4 correctly 45:13 45:1, 45:4, 46:15, 46:19, directed 20:9, 20:23 corridor 51:20 direction 15:21 confirm 33:24, 43:3, 44:18, 47:18, 48:8, 55:16 deadlines 45:9 46:15, 48:23, 48:24 Counsel 3:24, 4:23, 5:15, 5:16, directional 44:4 deal 38:14 directive 5:25 confirmation 6:6, 25:23, 6:4, 8:5, 8:10, 13:9, 13:12, 25:24, 27:3, 52:16, 54:7, 13:14, 14:1, 14:5, 15:10, **deals** 48:6 disavow 9:24 debt 21:17, 21:18 disclosure 53:25, 54:4, 54:7, 54:14, 54:21, 56:23, 60:10 16:3, 16:12, 16:16, 16:17, confirmed 44:13, 44:19, 16:20, 19:2, 21:20, 27:13, debtors 21:8, 23:7, 39:24 54:8, 54:10, 54:13, 54:19, 29:1, 37:14, 51:16, 61:21 **December** 6:7, 33:24, 45:3, 54:20, 59:7, 60:25 46:19, 48:9, 52:14 conflict 57:5 couple 5:20, 8:11, 23:2, 35:2, 45:12, 48:8, 52:13, 54:13 discourteous 5:23 conflicts 59:1 35:17, 37:5, 48:14, 52:24 decide 9:18, 39:16, 43:1, 48:17 discretion 13:6 confuse 14:25 decided 9:17 discussed 19:25 course 14:9, 15:2, 15:8, 21:3, confused 30:2 27:17, 27:20, 27:25, 38:24, decipher 44:9 discussions 6:18, 38:1 Connected 3:6 61:2 decision 9:18, 25:18, 45:23, dismiss 4:3 consensual 54:6 Courthouse 58:2 disposition 17:9 45:24, 48:1, 49:12, 50:5, consent 56:21 Courtroom 49:19 50:9. 51:5 dispute 19:16, 19:19 consequences 50:13 **cover** 19:8 decisions 25:15, 26:1 disputed 31:25 consider 12:12, 28:15, 44:8, covered 33:20 decisively 8:24 distinguishable 25:12 **DISTRICT** 1:2 46.23 **Covid** 19:4, 21:19 deem 18:7 considered 6:2 creditor 4:10, 5:9, 17:13, deemed 17:16, 17:17, 37:11, Division 57:6 docket 29:5, 61:11 considering 30:20 18:20, 19:10, 21:4, 32:21, 39:6, 44:12, 46:21 consistent 8:14, 44:11 32:22, 33:16, 33:17, 39:12, Deer 2:7, 63:11 document 13:18, 26:14, contact 14:1, 26:10 52:9 defend 33:1, 33:18 33:13, 40:10, 44:5, 44:6, deferred 8:6, 48:21 contemplated 26:17 creditors 38:25, 43:13 55.6 documentation 13:23 context 18:22, 18:24, 25:13, **cross** 5:11, 18:14, 31:8, 46:10, deferring 17:18

documents 44:9, 49:5, 49:8 61:23 **Federal** 1:29, 45:9, 45:25 foregoing 63:3 feel 49:23 doing 36:17, 50:16, 54:15, **entity** 16:20 forever 33:1, 33:17 61:10 entry 17:2 feels 46:1, 47:6 formally 17:2 **dollars** 21:18 equal 34:15, 35:23 fees 38:9, 54:16, 54:17 fortunate 16:16 few 19:13, 28:16, 36:13, 39:10, Forty 29:18, 30:10, 30:15 done 14:19, 22:3, 38:14, 43:9, equipment 33:20 44:24, 44:25 equitable 24:15 45:22, 56:10 forty-eight 15:20 double 9:5 fifteen 38:17 forty-hours 14:16 equity 25:23 doubt 31:20 fighting 23:3 errors 19:20 forty-six 29:5 down 61:11 especially 17:8 figure 52:5, 59:5 forward 16:1, 23:22, 50:8, drafted 41:17 **ESQ** 1:18, 1:19, 1:24, 1:28 file 6:6, 15:21, 20:10, 21:24, 51:8. 52:5. 59:24 Drive 2:6, 63:10 **ESS** 1:4 49:6, 55:17, 56:25 forwarded 13:23 due 34:4, 37:18, 37:23 establish 47:7 **filed** 5:13, 11:15, 14:7, 16:12, found 6:17, 37:5, 53:21 established 13:13, 24:13 During 29:21, 29:22, 30:4 19:10, 19:14, 20:21, 40:8, four 3:5, 25:4, 43:23 **duties** 32:14 etc 21:20 43:8 franchise 26:21, 31:22, 32:2, **Dykman** 1:33, 4:8, 18:18 **Eve** 44:19 filing 14:18, 15:20, 16:10 32:3, 47:24, 47:25, 48:2 everybody 53:24, 54:2 **filings** 24:18 **Frankly 22:21** everyone 3:12, 5:7, 6:14, 15:4, final 34:16, 56:22 free 11:5, 17:1 <E> 28:25 finality 43:5, 43:7 Friday 45:25 front 4:25, 24:18, 59:6 e-mail 8:19, 9:6, 10:23, 51:20 everything 6:12, 8:12, 34:4, finances 21:14 eager 43:13 36:17, 44:11, 50:24, 56:20, financial 19:21 frustrating 48:22 56:21, 59:22 financials 19:23, 20:4 full 39:1, 49:15 earlier 24:10. 39:2 early 45:24, 52:10 evidentiary 13:19 find 23:16, 37:11, 50:4 **fully** 26:3 excuse 18:5, 39:6, 49:16, finding 39:5 easier 38:3, 51:18 funding 6:22, 6:24, 7:15, Eastchester 1:42 49:22 Fine 43:11, 51:12, 56:20, 29:14, 29:15, 29:20, 29:24, **EASTERN** 1:2 execute 32:22 56:23, 57:8, 57:15, 57:17, 43:11 **ECF** 14:17 executors 27:4 59:18, 59:20 furnished 33:13 **effect** 17:12 executory 26:15, 26:22, 26:25, finish 10:21 **future** 30:13 effective 13:21, 48:24, 53:8 **Fioto** 1:33, 4:6, 4:8, 4:21, 4:24, 32:13, 32:17, 33:10, 34:14, efforts 60:4, 62:4 39:12, 39:19, 47:13, 47:22 18:18 **Exhibit** 23:15, 31:7, 32:7 **firm** 3:20, 5:13, 8:3, 12:3, < G > eight 24:21 eighteen 31:8, 40:4, 40:6, **existed** 47:12 13:15, 15:18, 21:2, 44:12, **G.** 1:18, 1:40 40:15, 40:19, 47:8 **expect** 60:18 50:9, 53:10 **GALLOZZI** 1:38 firms 12:9 eighty-six 58:10 explain 7:19, 8:22, 17:10 Galluzzi 4:24 extended 6:7, 48:8, 48:23 either 23:17, 26:4, 42:8, 45:6, First 12:15, 24:1, 24:8, 28:9, gap 27:4 extensive 7:13 46.9 42:3, 47:15, 51:11, 52:7, gaps 19:20 electronic 2:47 extent 29:4 59:25 Garden 1:36 **ELIZABETH** 1:11 five 18:12, 25:6, 27:15, 27:19, gas 48:2 encourage 51:1 40:18 gather 61:3 < F > **flatly** 24:5 gave 7:9, 10:17 **encouraging** 7:5, 7:7, 7:18 fabulous 21:10 end 24:6, 43:4, 44:14, 46:1, **Floor** 1:45 general 28:7 50:14, 51:4, 53:14 face 36:18 Florida 19:4 generally 44:2 flow 53:13 enough 14:17, 22:4, 22:6, facilitate 59:23 gets 50:9 26:13, 43:1, 48:19 fact 25:25, 31:23, 33:11, 47:8 focus 39:4 **getting** 32:16 facts 25:13, 27:4 **ensure** 13:22 focusing 17:16 gist 53:15 ensured 20:1 factual 55:5 follow 9:7, 24:24 give 18:21, 36:1, 45:22, 45:23, entered 48:24, 52:22, 56:15, Failed 32:11, 39:9, 40:5 following 48:7 49:12, 50:6, 55:2, 59:12 follows 16:1 58:22 fairly 8:22, 23:3 given 13:3, 19:6, 23:14 **entire** 18:3 far 37:13, 57:4 Footnote 25:6 glad 7:7, 55:8 farther 14:4 footnotes 24:21 global 60:22 entirely 54:10

force 34:11

goals 36:16

entitled 16:4, 16:10, 16:22,

fast 23:22

gonna 3:8, 15:12, 16:2, 17:10, 27:12, 31:3, 46:15, 49:3, indicated 13:14, 20:11, 43:10, Keevan 1:19, 4:2 55:8 50:25 Kellogg 31:12, 32:8, 32:10 18:21, 18:22, 19:8, 19:19, 20:1, 20:3, 24:9, 25:22, helps 61:23 indicating 55:17 kind 7:1, 23:1, 33:2, 53:16, hereby 13:20 indication 14:5 27:20, 27:23, 45:22, 49:10, indirectly 59:8 knowledge 12:3, 12:4 49:17, 49:21, 52:14, 59:17, hereof 40:24, 49:8 history 12:23, 44:2 **inform** 51:20 known 5:1, 18:18 60:11, 61:4 information 14:13, 42:4 goodness 27:17, 58:5 hold 59:25, 60:1 **GOTTESMAN** 1:44, 3:7, 4:13, holding 59:16, 60:1, 61:13, inspiring 50:12 < L > instance 32:21 4:15, 4:16, 55:7, 55:10 61:19 grant 16:2, 35:22 holiday 45:9, 45:25 instead 19:17 landlord 4:9. 5:9. 5:21. 17:13. granted 16:5, 16:21, 17:1, holidays 45:10 instructed 18:21 17:14, 18:19, 28:9, 29:3, 61:22 honest 9:14 instruments 32:23 30:16, 30:20, 37:18, 39:11, insurance 12:8, 38:10 granting 15:3 honestly 56:12 43:7, 46:21, 46:25, 47:14, **HONORABLE** 1:11 grateful 28:16, 30:21 intention 8:15 48:10, 52:9, 52:23, 54:2, great 46:4 hope 31:3 interest 46:6 55:13. 61:16 hoped 17:21 interested 52:12 landlords 14:2, 39:24 **ground** 46:5 quess 14:17, 16:10, 16:13, hopeful 38:14, 54:25 interesting 25:16, 26:8, 46:6, language 40:3, 41:11, 41:16 46:3, 57:9 hoping 49:13 46:7, 50:6 lapsing 12:8 horse 61:1 interrupting 5:22 large 38:12 hours 7:17, 15:21 intersect 43:14 larger 27:4 < H > **HOWARD** 1:44 **invite** 49:22 last 19:6, 22:25, 26:9, 37:5, half 20:24, 21:18, 28:11 huge 22:2 involving 13:22 38:16. 42:3 **handle** 21:14 hundred 20:15, 20:16, 21:3, Irene 2:2, 4:19, 14:2 late 10:18 later 25:24, 49:20, 61:19 happen 45:1, 54:16, 60:9 21:13, 26:4, 31:13, 39:1 **issue** 18:20, 26:8, 47:7, 55:18 issues 15:19, 15:24, 21:19, happened 20:6, 29:16, 53:2 **Latif** 1:28, 3:7, 5:1, 5:3, 5:4, happening 20:8, 26:18 46:7, 50:6, 55:4, 55:12 5:5, 9:2, 9:4, 9:5, 11:1, 11:4, < | > happens 22:19, 33:23, 33:24, items 22:1 11:6, 11:7, 11:9, 11:11, idea 54:5 itself 31:12, 31:14, 41:16 44:18 11:13, 11:22, 50:1 identified 18:5, 18:7 happy 17:22, 18:10, 27:9, **LAW** 1:23, 24:7, 31:16 identifies 23:13 lawyer 12:11, 13:16, 15:25 38:25 < J >hard 44:9, 44:12, 45:10, 48:8, identify 23:13 **LEA** 1:24 **IL** 1:21 Jackson 1:20, 9:3, 27:24, leading 7:12 50.12 **immediately** 13:21, 48:13, learn 60:9 hate 22:10 51:12, 51:20, 58:16 learned 6:23 **hear** 3:2, 5:14, 6:8, 7:7, 8:24, 48:25 job 28:14 implied 22:15 lease 5:10, 17:15, 18:7, 18:20, 8:25, 11:1, 11:4, 11:6, 11:8, jobs 53:1 11:9, 17:12, 17:22, 18:11, importance 36:7 join 58:10 20:19, 20:22, 23:5, 23:9, important 15:23, 19:1, 30:22, joint 56:9, 59:22 18:13, 18:14, 21:4, 21:5, 23:19, 23:24, 24:4, 26:3, 27:13, 27:14, 27:23, 28:6, 36:15, 43:25, 47:6 **Judge** 1:12, 3:13, 8:7, 9:6, 26:19, 26:25, 27:5, 29:7, impression 10:17 29:1, 29:3, 38:22, 44:15, 9:14, 9:18, 11:9, 12:17, 38:8, 38:10, 39:6, 41:15, 52.5 in. 37:4 19:3, 21:5, 52:3, 52:8, 59:18 41:17, 41:18, 41:21, 41:23, heard 13:9, 15:15, 45:19 Inc. 2:5 judgment 4:10, 4:12, 5:9, 41:25, 43:22, 44:5, 44:12, inclined 58:13 hearing 5:23, 11:5, 17:25, 17:3, 17:13, 18:19, 19:9, 46:20, 47:11, 48:1, 48:2, 18:3, 18:4, 18:8, 36:8, include 8:24 19:10, 19:13, 19:17, 23:14, 48:3, 48:9 52:16, 54:5, 54:20, 56:22, includes 8:1, 41:15 26:11, 27:2, 52:9 leases 26:7, 39:22, 39:24, 58:14, 60:12, 60:21, 61:3, including 15:19, 33:14, 43:24, jury 51:19 41:3, 41:12 least 45:10 62.8 55:5, 56:4, 60:19 hearing** 3:3 incorrect 15:22 leave 17:3, 38:3, 41:1, 51:15 < K > **Heart** 11:24 indemnification 33:6 left 16:19 indemnity 47:2 keep 45:25, 49:20, 50:24, help 6:1, 24:20, 45:16 **legal** 38:9, 50:6, 54:15, 54:17,

60:17

55:4

indicate 43:16

helpful 6:14, 8:13, 14:13,

legislative 44:1 28:23, 34:3, 45:18, 45:21, legislature 26:6 50:3, 51:7, 51:13, 51:22, lengthy 10:7 55:17 matters 3:4, 5:8, 6:2, 6:11, less 14:16, 15:19, 15:20 letter 28:12, 43:14, 55:17, 56:9, 51:25, 58:11 56:25, 57:8, 58:19, 59:16, Mcdonald 32:4 mean 34:11, 36:9, 39:22, 61:17 level 55:25 43:21, 46:18, 48:11, 56:13, likely 49:25, 61:14 58:10 likes 17:6, 48:6 **means** 16:9 limitations 47:15 meant 36:20 limits 43:24 mediation 20:23, 20:25 line 21:23, 22:1, 28:10, 42:3 medical 18:2 meet 22:16, 59:23, 60:19 lined 48:18 lines 46:22 meetina 11:5 mentioned 24:8 list 21:24 little 7:9, 9:14, 18:24, 49:14 mentions 47:16 **LLP** 1:33, 4:16 merits 28:15 mess 58:9 loan 30:18, 39:5 location 42:22 met 18:5 **Metropolitan** 1:34, 4:6, 4:9, **long** 31:15, 49:14, 60:25 longer 13:14, 13:15 4:24. 18:19 middle 38:20 **look** 15:10, 23:15, 32:6, 56:2, **million** 21:18 58:21 looking 24:19, 45:11, 50:14, mind 22:11, 22:13 58:8, 60:23 minute 18:13, 18:21, 27:15 looks 58:15 minutes 28:16, 45:22, 49:21 mischaracterizes 24:3 **lot** 10:5, 21:11, 25:13, 38:6, misheard 30:8 44:1, 48:15, 49:14, 53:21, misidentifies 15:22 60:9 misinformed 10:1 lots 19:20 **LTD** 1:17 missed 47:14 luck 62:1 **missing** 58:16 misspoke 30:7 Lynn 63:3 misstate 36:15 misunderstand 9:25 < M >misunderstanding 14.6 magnitude 38:23 moment 3:21, 15:20 mail 14:19, 14:23, 16:14 Monday 58:11 MALE 60:12, 61:5, 61:7, 61:9 money 22:4, 22:6, 22:9, 22:16, managed 26:23 29:16, 30:14, 35:3, 35:6, management 5:8, 6:3, 6:9, 35:8, 36:4, 36:12, 36:23, 9:3, 61:12 37:4, 48:19 mandates 24:12, 24:16 month 20:24, 44:13 March 20:10 monthly 6:5, 11:14, 12:7,

Marcum 1:44, 4:14, 4:16, 7:14,

23:22, 26:6, 27:22, 27:24,

21:2, 21:21

Matter 1:4, 13:21, 22:18,

marking 61:11

21:15, 22:2

38:17

months 20:6, 22:10, 23:2,

Morgan 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 3:6,

3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:23, 3:25,

4:2, 4:3, 5:13, 6:18, 8:1, 8:2, 8:3, 8:4, 8:6, 8:7, 9:23, 9:24, 10:3, 12:3, 12:14, 12:15, 12:17, 12:19, 12:24, 13:1, 14:22, 14:25, 15:7, 15:8, 15:9, 15:18, 16:18, 21:2, 38:15 morning 5:3, 11:12, 58:12 mortgage 53:10 motions 17:11, 17:14, 61:15 move 51:7, 51:21, 56:16 moved 17:23, 18:3 moving 59:23 multiple 59:1 mute 9:5, 11:7, 50:25 **myself** 46:1 < N >name 3:10, 31:17, 32:8, 32:10 **nature** 30:25 necessarily 39:19 necessary 7:10, 18:11, 33:14, 37:12 **need** 6:2, 11:4, 14:4, 16:13, 18:25, 27:18, 28:6, 38:22, 44:24, 44:25, 46:23, 50:3, 50:8, 50:22, 51:5, 53:22, 55:13, 55:20, 55:23, 55:24, 56:10, 57:19, 57:20, 59:4, 59:6, 59:12, 59:13, 59:14, 60:20, 60:23, 61:2, 61:20 needs 16:8, 18:5, 18:6, 50:1, 51:9, 59:23, 60:19 negotiations 19:7 neighborhood 58:2, 58:3 **New** 1:2, 1:31, 2:7, 36:4, 44:19, 53:1, 55:14, 63:11 **news** 7:25, 9:10, 10:18, 60:21 next 8:23, 12:12, 23:2, 33:23, 35:17, 36:13, 45:1, 45:24, 46:20, 49:13, 49:15, 50:3, 50:16, 51:21, 52:10, 58:8, 59:9, 60:4 night 57:10 nineteen 40:16 **no.** 38:21 nobody 17:6, 17:7 non-residential 29:7, 39:21,

39:24, 41:3

none 25:19 nor 51:8 normal 53:11 Normally 20:15 **note** 14:12, 16:5, 19:6, 19:11, 19:18, 23:14, 23:25, 26:24, 27:2, 40:24, 41:10, 41:15, 53:9 **noted** 61:12 notes 45:11 Nothing 11:15, 14:20, 20:6, 20:8 **notice** 8:21, 13:2, 14:8, 14:16, 14:17, 14:19, 14:20, 15:1, 15:3, 15:21, 16:3, 16:4, 16:6, 16:10, 16:11, 16:13, 16:22, 39:23, 61:22, 61:23, 61:24 **notify** 13:19 November 58:15, 59:17, 60:2, 60:6, 60:11, 61:13 number 5:7, 15:19, 17:18, 24:7, 27:19, 29:5, 32:3, 50:5 numbers 3:5, 38:6, 38:23, 40:17 numeral 17:18 **NY** 1:6, 1:26, 1:36, 1:42, 1:46 **NYCB** 14:15 **NYEB** 14:15 < 0 > O'reilly 63:3

object 52:15 objection 11:18, 15:21 objections 13:4, 16:12 objectives 43:15 obligated 33:17 obligation 10:22, 23:17, 26:14, 32:21, 33:15, 47:13 obligations 32:19, 32:20, 33:16, 39:11, 39:16 obtain 35:1, 35:14 obtained 34:15, 34:20, 34:23, 35:9, 35:11 obviously 13:5, 19:8, 53:2, 53:22 occurred 21:11 October 10:18, 21:19 Office 1:23, 1:29, 5:2, 5:5, 9:1,

11:13, 11:19 overall 31:6, 31:11, 32:4, 39:8 50:13 pre-petition 19:3, 37:17 official 3:9 overlap 43:15 person 17:21, 17:25, 18:2, precise 36:7, 37:10 often 17:5 overnight 16:14 50:17, 51:18, 60:3, 60:7, predominant 47:18 override 24:12, 24:15, 26:6 60:16 prefer 50:21, 60:7 **Okay** 4:11, 7:3, 8:9, 8:17, 8:20, overstate 36:15 perspective 11:20 9:12, 9:16, 10:9, 12:5, preliminary 54:8 15:14, 25:2, 25:5, 25:20, persuaded 39:15 premises 20:19, 20:20 prepared 18:11, 49:11 27:21, 38:1, 40:21, 51:3, petition 20:14, 30:4 < P > 57:18, 61:8 phone 7:17 present 8:12 old 22:10, 40:10 p.m. 14:8, 61:14 pick 41:25 **press** 8:9 One 3:5, 12:9, 15:7, 15:17, Page 24:14, 25:4, 40:18 picture 16:7, 30:22, 44:12 presumptuous 56:13 18:21, 21:3, 21:23, 22:5, pages 24:21 pictures 53:3 pretty 12:6, 49:15 24:2, 26:11, 30:20, 30:24, paid 17:24, 39:1, 43:13 piece 16:7, 57:12 primarily 26:10 Place 5:17, 19:21, 24:19, 58:1 32:3, 32:8, 39:18, 43:14, pandemic 29:20, 29:21, 30:5 principal 4:18, 4:20, 13:13, 43:15, 43:25, 44:4, 46:2, paper 57:13 plain 41:11, 41:15 18.1 47:1, 49:16, 50:8, 51:5, papers 20:10, 24:14, 32:7 Plains 1:41 **Prior** 29:15, 29:20, 29:23, Paragraph 31:8, 32:8, 40:4, plan 21:3, 21:13, 22:7, 30:13, 51:7, 52:6, 53:5, 55:10, 29:24, 30:4 40:5, 40:15, 40:18, 47:8 33:24, 43:3, 44:13, 44:18, probably 7:10, 8:21, 11:24, parallel 49:4 44:19, 46:15, 46:19, 48:9, 12:12, 14:13, 44:14, 49:25, ongoing 32:14, 32:18, 32:20, 39:10, 39:16, 46:8 parcel 31:6, 31:10, 34:14, 59:7 48:23, 52:14, 52:20, 53:8, 58:11 open 41:13, 58:16 parishes 60:13 53:13, 54:6, 54:7, 54:21, **problem** 39:22 Park 2:7, 63:11 59:6 problems 24:1 opening 41:7 operate 48:18, 48:20 **Part** 17:14, 31:5, 31:9, 31:10, **plans** 39:1 procedurally 13:6 **Plaza** 1:45 **operates** 48:19 31:18, 34:13, 40:23, 41:9, proceed 6:1, 16:15, 17:10, **Please** 3:10, 3:14, 4:1, 10:21, operating 6:5, 11:14, 12:7, 41:19, 41:20, 44:11, 47:9, 18:16, 27:11, 43:2, 50:25 21:15, 21:22, 22:2 49:8, 50:2, 51:16, 55:19, 12:1, 13:22, 18:16, 27:13, proceeding 17:1 36:21, 40:19, 49:6, 51:13, operation 14:18 59.7 **Proceedings** 2:47, 63:4 operative 44:4 Parties 3:8, 6:12, 14:11, 16:4, 58:18, 60:1 process 55:14 opinion 11:17 produced 2:49 16:10, 16:22, 26:1, 38:4, **plus** 36:12, 38:9 productive 55:16, 59:24 opportunity 8:24, 16:8, 51:1, 39:23, 40:7, 41:4, 44:15, **point** 16:18, 19:25, 20:2, 25:9, professional 15:24, 17:3 51:14, 52:3, 55:3 49:18, 51:15, 55:16, 56:24, 27:8, 43:10, 44:21, 47:8, opposed 20:4 60:16, 60:19, 61:16, 61:22 51:9, 54:22, 54:24, 56:8, promissory 19:6, 19:11, parts 41:11 56:16, 60:24, 61:2 19:18, 23:14, 23:25, 26:23, opposes 23:7 opposing 14:1 party 16:10, 23:17 **points** 28:8, 28:9, 43:22, 47:1, 26:24, 27:2, 41:10, 41:15 passed 5:11, 15:2, 21:12 49.9 promptly 14:10, 49:13, 59:15 **opposite** 27:8, 32:13 portion 17:13, 28:15, 29:2 **opposition** 5:12, 11:24, 16:6, past 12:22 properly 13:23 18:14, 23:16, 29:2, 31:7, **path** 16:1, 46:2, 50:8, 52:5 position 11:17, 11:25, 12:2, **Properties** 4:8 39:4 patiently 49:18 Property 18:18, 23:12, 29:7 27:9, 40:6 optimism 46:4 pay 6:22, 7:16, 21:17, 30:12, possession 32:25, 33:3 proposal 36:25 oral 38:20, 45:24 37:8, 37:9, 53:10 possible 7:19, 18:23, 49:13, proposed 6:22, 10:10, 10:11, order 15:3, 16:3, 16:11, 16:21, payments 22:6, 53:11 52:17, 53:12, 55:3, 58:23, 16:2, 16:11, 16:21, 21:3, 17:2, 18:3, 37:12, 37:14, payroll 30:13 59:15 37:3, 37:8, 57:8, 57:9, 37:24, 38:23, 52:21, 56:8, pending 5:15 possibly 7:16 57:13, 61:17 56:10, 56:15, 57:4, 57:5, People 46:23, 58:5 Post-petition 19:19, 22:22, proposing 21:8 37:18, 38:17 57:9, 57:13, 58:22, 59:6, per 32:2 protection 30:13 61:17, 61:22 percent 21:3, 21:13, 29:18, posture 18:9 provide 15:3 powers 24:15, 33:14 orderly 6:13 30:11, 30:12, 30:14, 30:15, provided 10:7, 14:17 **PPP** 29:14, 29:15, 29:20, provides 15:19, 33:17 others 39:19 otherwise 18:11, 38:3, 48:9 perhaps 8:6, 9:25, 50:15, 29:24, 30:11, 30:13, 30:16, provision 33:7 provisions 39:23 outlines 55:2 51:21, 56:4 30.18 outset 16:17 practical 26:5, 34:3 **public** 16:25 **period** 14:19, 15:4, 50:10,

pull 47:5 received 10:24, 15:2, 17:24, report 6:6, 11:16 29:19, 30:11 reports 11:15, 12:7, 21:16, purchase 23:20, 33:9, 41:9 < S > purchaser 33:1, 33:18 recent 10:25 21:22, 22:2, 36:12 recently 5:13, 6:17, 6:23, represent 12:20, 13:24, 60:13 S. 1:11 Purcigliotti 1:38, 4:23 sad 36:6 purpose 38:5 34:15 representation 13:20 purposes 30:16, 44:5, 61:13 recognizes 13:3 represented 13:15 sake 17:23, 27:17 recommend 52:21 put 22:4, 39:20, 39:23, 61:1 representing 3:16, 5:19, 12:9, **salad** 41:8 putting 15:1 record 3:9, 3:14, 4:1, 6:2, 6:14, 14:2, 15:11, 15:17, 29:12 sale 23:25, 33:9, 40:18, 47:10 12:14, 13:17, 16:21, 16:23, request 8:1, 17:24, 18:6, satisfy 56:3 17:23, 18:17, 27:18, 28:21, 18:12, 37:11, 59:16, 59:21, saw 3:25 < Q > 60:17 saying 23:8, 28:12, 36:18, 34:18, 34:24, 36:8, 38:4, quality 13:19 38:22, 40:19, 49:11, 49:24, requested 29:2 47:9, 54:19 Quentin 1:35 requesting 8:5 **says** 21:24, 23:4, 23:8, 24:5, 51:23, 52:4, 53:21, 55:5, question 5:10, 12:1, 12:8, 55:7, 55:8, 59:1, 60:22, 63:4 require 43:3, 52:23 35:21, 40:6, 40:19, 42:14, recorded 2:47 required 23:5, 24:11, 32:23 42:17. 55:21 14:8, 19:24, 34:8, 34:12, recording 2:47, 62:9 requirement 54:9 schedule 13:5 38:24, 44:8, 45:16, 50:5 questions 19:22, 27:10, 38:2, records 13:22, 53:1 requirements 39:25, 41:2, scheduled 17:22, 19:15 56:12 reference 24:20, 44:4 43.24 schedules 19:20, 21:15, 21:21 referenced 31:19 screen 52:6 quickly 27:16, 27:23 requires 33:18 quite 14:9, 20:5, 36:20 reflected 16:21, 16:23 reserve 9:17 se 32:2 refocus 39:4 reset 49:19 Second 24:9, 25:11, 28:11, refund 36:24, 37:7 **resist** 21:9 49:16, 50:17, 51:13, 59:25 < R > refunds 35:22 resolution 28:10, 50:11, section 29:9 **sections** 39:20 **R.** 1:34 regarding 7:15 50:13, 60:22 races 58:23 regardless 48:17 resolved 55:19, 55:20 secured 32:21, 32:22, 33:5, **Rachel** 1:23, 1:24, 3:15, 5:18, regular 7:14 resources 54:18 33:16, 33:17, 33:19, 33:20, 13:23, 29:11, 46:13, 53:20, reject 23:4, 23:9, 23:24, 26:3, respect 12:2, 18:6, 30:19, 61:15 security 33:21, 40:11, 40:24 57:3, 58:25 27:2, 29:8 radiating 37:25 rejected 17:16, 17:17, 18:8, respects 24:7 **seek** 8:4 respond 23:10, 30:23, 40:1, seeking 17:15, 29:6, 30:20, raises 15:19, 50:5 19:12, 20:22, 29:8, 37:11, ran 19:23 39:6, 44:13, 46:21, 48:10, 43:17 43:8 **rapidly** 56:17 48:25, 52:13 responded 37:2 seeks 39:5 rather 26:19, 56:17 rejection 18:20, 20:19 response 13:10, 15:16, 27:10, seem 13:12, 43:23, 44:10 rational 27:8 **relief** 5:12, 17:14, 17:16, 17:17, 30:21, 45:16, 59:21 **seemed** 22:25 rest 17:11, 47:5 Re 1:4, 31:19 seems 12:6, 18:15, 25:8, 50:15 29:2, 30:19, 46:21 reached 19:9 relies 25:10, 47:17, 47:22 restaurant 41:8 seen 16:8, 44:22 read 13:17, 39:20, 40:8, 40:19, relieved 8:10 sell 20:2, 20:13, 33:8 restroom 27:16 41:25, 44:9, 48:1, 49:7 relieves 17:2 result 21:10 seller 19:7, 32:15, 32:18, 32:25 readable 55:5 relieving 16:3, 16:11 resume 28:17 selling 26:18, 33:8 ready 29:1 relying 14:15 **return** 33:9 send 8:18, 50:17 real 22:20, 27:16, 29:7 remaining 30:12 **Richard** 1:44, 4:16 sense 9:14, 26:15, 27:3, 38:6, really 6:21, 10:5, 10:14, 26:15, Remember 34:8, 45:7, 45:13 **river** 61:6 38:23, 44:10, 50:1, 50:15, 30:23, 51:8, 51:14, 55:1, remind 3:8, 10:22, 36:7 **Road** 1:41, 51:5 51:10, 55:23, 56:1, 56:5, 59:22 61:16 reminding 45:25 roman 17:18 reason 43:25, 51:14 remote 18:8 room 45:22, 50:18, 51:19 sent 10:24 Roosevelt 1:35 Reasonably 31:25 rent 30:12, 30:17, 53:11 separate 29:4, 32:5, 39:10, reasoning 25:13 reorganization 20:4, 55:3 roughly 36:24 41:17, 41:18, 56:6 reasons 18:1, 27:19, 44:1 reorganize 20:12, 43:13 Rowe 58:1 separately 49:9, 55:4 rep 33:7 ruling 59:12, 59:13, 59:14 serve 16:14 Recall 28:22, 51:24

Recalling 28:23, 44:2, 51:25

rephrase 36:23

run 27:16, 30:15

serves 38:5

Service 2:49, 15:18, 16:15, **somehow** 21:17 **stayed** 46:21 49:24 someone 49:5 **Staying** 6:15, 8:25, 9:2, 11:6 text 40:17 16:24 Services 2:5, 8:19, 63:9 **Sometimes** 17:5, 44:24 **steps** 5:24 thankfully 17:5 somewhat 39:18, 40:8, 46:8 stick 18:20 thanks 60:4 serving 16:9 Thanksgiving 58:13 **Set** 33:23, 43:2, 45:9, 46:14, Somewhere 41:14, 42:14, **Stipulation** 57:9, 57:13, 61:17 48:21, 55:16, 59:14, 59:21 42:17 Stong 1:11, 3:13, 52:3 That'll 60:10 **stop** 62:8 **Settle** 16:2, 16:21, 61:22 **soon** 6:25, 8:22, 8:24, 35:13, theory 24:1 settlement 6:18, 6:20, 6:22, 45:24, 51:6 **store** 41:8 thereafter 33:1 straightforward 23:4, 23:6 thereof 41:11, 41:19, 41:20, 7:2, 7:20, 10:11, 19:9, 27:3, sooner 61:18 34:17, 36:25, 37:3, 37:8, **Sorry** 7:6, 9:21, 11:25, 21:9, strangely 58:16 47:10 38:1, 38:19, 55:19 22:12, 25:2, 30:10, 36:14, **Street** 1:30 thinking 8:22, 24:20, 25:21, settling 53:7 40:23, 46:13, 47:19, 51:17, **struck** 28:10 25:22, 49:20 seven 16:3, 16:12, 16:14, 54:4, 57:1, 57:16 struggling 49:14 **Third** 60:1 **thirdly** 26:12 16:22, 24:21, 24:22, 61:22 **sort** 22:15, 22:23, 25:8, 37:25, subject 31:5, 60:10 seventeen 40:16 50:2. 53:15 submissions 45:15 thirty 12:23 Seventh 25:17, 26:7, 47:22 sound 2:47, 40:16, 56:24 submit 57:4, 61:16 **Thomas** 1:34, 1:40, 4:7, 4:23, **shall** 56:5 **sounds** 55:8 substance 5:11, 10:17, 10:25, 18:17, 46:25, 52:8 **shape** 12:6 **source** 6:22, 7:15, 15:24, 22:8, 28:11, 33:7, 44:23 though 14:12, 37:3, 55:6 succeed 55:3 **short** 13:2, 14:9, 18:10, 28:2, 35:20. 36:12 thoughts 60:1 58:9, 59:9 speaking 3:11, 9:24, 19:2, sufficient 53:13 three 29:5, 49:9 21:10, 36:8 suggest 48:7, 48:16, 59:17 throughout 3:2 shortcomings 61:24 **shortened** 14:19, 15:4 **speaks** 41:16 suggestion 58:17 thrown 38:7 shorter 46:1 suggestions 52:12 timeframe 44:25, 56:24 **specific** 39:23, 40:3, 42:22 **shouldn't** 52:19 **specifically** 31:8, 31:9, 32:5, suggests 48:5 timely 53:11 show 38:13, 40:7 32:10, 39:9, 39:21, 40:3, Suite 1:20, 1:25, 1:30, 1:41 **timing** 56:5 **Today** 6:19, 6:21, 8:1, 8:11, **shows** 21:25 41:2, 41:14, 41:20 **summary** 19:10 **Sid** 1:6, 3:4, 13:20, 13:22, **speed** 15:12 **support** 29:4, 46:9, 55:11, 8:23, 8:25, 10:5, 10:7, 13:24, 14:2, 28:23, 35:11, spent 20:24, 54:17 55:13 10:24, 15:13, 17:13, 17:18, 51:25 **spoke** 6:19, 6:21 supportive 55:11 18:9, 22:21, 29:6, 37:6, side 55:21 suppose 16:25, 59:8, 60:24 squinting 49:7 44:5, 45:23, 46:1, 49:11, **Siderakis** 2:2, 3:7, 4:17, 4:19, standpoint 50:11 supposed 54:12 49:24, 53:22, 61:11 start 5:17, 18:15, 57:10 surprised 10:15 together 56:21 8:18, 14:2 surrender 20:18, 20:20, 23:5, Tom 6:18 sides 22:25, 44:8 starting 6:3 Signature 63:7 **State** 3:10, 4:22, 51:15, 57:6, 52:23 tone 28:11 surrendered 48:25 significantly 7:1, 7:20 57.22 took 19:21, 20:4, 21:20 silly 40:16 stated 32:5, 40:3 suspect 21:8 totaling 36:24 similar 32:3 **statement** 19:21, 54:1, 54:5, transaction 23:19, 27:6 **simply 26:6** 54:7, 54:9, 54:10, 54:13, transactions 44:3 <T> 59:7, 60:25 Transcriber 2:5 Sincerely 14:2 sit 22:21 statements 30:23 talked 39:1, 53:15 transcript 2:49, 63:3 situation 16:19, 17:6, 19:5, **States** 1:1, 1:12, 5:2, 5:5, 9:1, technical 46:7, 46:8 Transcription 2:5, 2:49, 63:9 36:16, 46:16, 48:21, 55:2 11:13, 31:8 technically 45:8 tried 60:2 situations 25:24 station 48:3 telephonic 59:14, 60:21 trigger 43:23 ten 20:16, 24:5, 26:23 six 20:6, 24:21 **Status** 5:14, 6:8, 6:15, 8:25, true 22:3, 43:12, 63:4 sixteen 22:9 9:3, 9:8, 11:1, 11:7, 11:14, terminate 13:3, 13:20 **Trustee** 1:28, 5:2, 5:6, 9:1, terminated 8:8, 12:19, 13:14, sixty 30:12, 30:14 11:16, 20:22, 22:25, 45:11, 11:14, 14:18 sixtv-seven 21:25 55:17, 56:6, 56:25, 57:8, 13:17, 15:25 try 8:23, 20:13, 27:23, 34:12, **small** 14:15 61:17 terminating 8:19 45:10, 60:8 **termination** 12:2, 12:9 software 60:15 **statute** 47:15 trying 34:16 sold 20:4 Tuesday 58:12 stay 12:1, 16:25, 17:4, 38:2 terms 7:2, 7:19, 10:10, 32:24,

turns 6:25, 23:21, 36:9 twenty 20:15, 26:4 twice 34:12 two 7:17, 10:4, 10:16, 17:11, 20:16, 23:22, 25:17, 26:17, 44:13, 47:1, 47:21, 49:4, 49:20, 49:21, 61:15 type 33:6, 52:20 typical 26:24, 47:10 typically 26:19 typo 14:14

< U >

unamicable 20:20 uncomfortable 12:21 understand 21:7, 30:22, 52:3, 52:16, 53:25, 55:12 understanding 7:4, 8:15, 8:16 understood 9:23 **Unfortunately** 20:25, 21:11 **United** 1:1, 1:12, 5:2, 5:5, 9:1, 11:13 universes 49:4 unless 22:20, 44:13 **unmuted** 12:16 unsuccessful 21:1 **until** 57:10 **unusual** 48:14 **useful** 38:5

< V >

Uses 48:13

vacations 21:20 valid 39:14, 49:2 value 31:14, 36:18 Varick 1:30 various 19:20 video 3:8, 60:2, 61:14 view 10:25, 39:17, 39:18, 55:22 village 55:9 virtual 18:4, 60:15, 60:17, 61:3, 61:7

VOICE 60:12, 61:5, 61:7, 61:9

< W > wait 57:10

waiting 49:18 wanna 14:25, 24:18, 24:24, 25:9, 38:6, 47:1, 53:7, 54:18, 58:11 wanted 11:19, 15:5, 22:13, 41:17, 52:11 wants 12:11, 27:11 warranty 33:7 waste 51:1, 54:15, 54:18 website 14:14, 15:22 Wednesday 57:10 week 19:14, 45:24, 46:1, 46:2, 49:13, 49:15, 52:11, 56:14, 58:8, 58:9, 59:9 weeks 10:4, 10:16, 35:17, 36:13, 44:13, 50:14, 52:25 welcome 16:25 West 1:20, 1:45 whatever 9:18, 36:1, 53:1 whenever 46:4 whether 5:10, 20:2, 20:12, 30:24, 38:24, 51:15, 55:17, 56:7, 57:11 **White 1:41** whoever 41:17 whom 50:2 will 5:24, 6:1, 6:10, 6:13, 8:23, 13:24, 16:8, 17:18, 33:1, 47:16, 51:7, 53:12, 53:17, 55:2, 56:3, 56:11, 58:3, 59:7, 59:22, 60:18, 61:2 win 34:9, 34:13 **window** 43:8 wish 17:4, 34:8, 44:23, 51:11 wishes 13:15 withdraw 3:19, 5:13, 5:16, 6:4, 8:5, 8:7, 11:18, 13:9, 13:11, 15:15, 15:17, 61:21 withdrawal 15:3 within 37:5, 50:13 without 20:13, 26:2, 26:16 wonder 14:17 word 11:25, 48:13 words 54:12, 57:12 **work** 6:21, 16:20, 34:16, 46:17, 49:12, 50:7, 59:8, 60:3, 61:25

worked 55:13

working 59:6, 60:15

works 13:6, 57:11

worried 48:18 writing 57:11 written 12:13

< Y >

Year 43:4, 44:14, 44:19, 50:14 years 23:23, 26:23, 31:13 York 1:2, 1:31, 2:7, 63:11 yourselves 49:22

< Z >

Zoom 17:23, 45:22, 49:22